#### CS 240 – Data Structures and Data Management

#### Module 4: Dictionaries

#### A. Hunt O. Veksler

#### Based on lecture notes by many previous cs240 instructors

David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo

Winter 2023

version 2023-02-05 15:18

1 / 27

# Outline



#### Dictionaries and Balanced Search Trees

- ADT Dictionary
- Review: Binary Search Trees
- AVL Trees
- Insertion in AVL Trees
- Restoring the AVL Property: Rotations

# Outline



### Dictionaries and Balanced Search Trees

#### • ADT Dictionary

- Review: Binary Search Trees
- AVL Trees
- Insertion in AVL Trees
- Restoring the AVL Property: Rotations

# Dictionary ADT

**Dictionary**: An ADT consisting of a collection of items, each of which contains

- a *key*
- some *data* (the "value")

and is called a *key-value pair* (KVP). Keys can be compared and are (typically) unique.

Operations:

- search(k) (also called findElement(k))
- insert(k, v) (also called insertItem(k, v))
- delete(k) (also called removeElement(k)))
- optional: closestKeyBefore, join, isEmpty, size, etc.

Examples: symbol table, license plate database

# **Elementary Implementations**

Common assumptions:

- Dictionary has n KVPs
- Each KVP uses constant space (if not, the "value" could be a pointer)
- Keys can be compared in constant time

#### Unordered array or linked list

search  $\Theta(n)$ insert  $\Theta(1)$  (except array occasionally needs to resize) delete  $\Theta(n)$  (need to search)

#### Ordered array

search  $\Theta(\log n)$  (via binary search) insert  $\Theta(n)$ delete  $\Theta(n)$ 

# Outline



#### Dictionaries and Balanced Search Trees

- ADT Dictionary
- Review: Binary Search Trees
- AVL Trees
- Insertion in AVL Trees
- Restoring the AVL Property: Rotations

# Binary Search Trees (review)

Structure Binary tree: all nodes have two (possibly empty) subtrees Every node stores a KVP Empty subtrees usually not shown

Ordering Every key k in *T*.*left* is less than the root key. Every key k in *T*.*right* is greater than the root key.



( In our examples we only show the keys, and we show them directly in the node. A more accurate picture would be (m) (key = 15, <other info>)

BST::search(k) Start at root, compare k to current node's key. Stop if found or subtree is empty, else recurse at subtree.



BST::search(k) Start at root, compare k to current node's key. Stop if found or subtree is empty, else recurse at subtree.



BST::search(k) Start at root, compare k to current node's key. Stop if found or subtree is empty, else recurse at subtree.



BST::search(k) Start at root, compare k to current node's key. Stop if found or subtree is empty, else recurse at subtree.



BST::search(k) Start at root, compare k to current node's key. Stop if found or subtree is empty, else recurse at subtree. BST::insert(k, v) Search for k, then insert (k, v) as new node Example: BST::insert(24, v)



- First search for the node x that contains the key.
- If x is a **leaf** (both subtrees are empty), delete it.



- First search for the node x that contains the key.
- If x is a **leaf** (both subtrees are empty), delete it.



- First search for the node x that contains the key.
- If x is a **leaf** (both subtrees are empty), delete it.
- If x has one non-empty subtree, move child up



- First search for the node x that contains the key.
- If x is a **leaf** (both subtrees are empty), delete it.
- If x has one non-empty subtree, move child up



- First search for the node x that contains the key.
- If x is a **leaf** (both subtrees are empty), delete it.
- If x has one non-empty subtree, move child up
- Else, swap key at x with key at **successor** or **predecessor** node and then delete that node



- First search for the node x that contains the key.
- If x is a **leaf** (both subtrees are empty), delete it.
- If x has one non-empty subtree, move child up
- Else, swap key at x with key at **successor** or **predecessor** node and then delete that node



- First search for the node x that contains the key.
- If x is a **leaf** (both subtrees are empty), delete it.
- If x has one non-empty subtree, move child up
- Else, swap key at x with key at **successor** or **predecessor** node and then delete that node



BST::search, BST::insert, BST::delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If n items are inserted one-at-a-time, how big is h?

• Worst-case:

BST::search, BST::insert, BST::delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If n items are inserted one-at-a-time, how big is h?

- Worst-case:  $n-1 = \Theta(n)$
- Best-case:

BST::search, BST::insert, BST::delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If n items are inserted one-at-a-time, how big is h?

- Worst-case:  $n-1 = \Theta(n)$
- Best-case: Θ(log n). Any binary tree with n nodes has height ≥ log(n + 1) − 1
- Average-case:

BST::search, BST::insert, BST::delete all have cost  $\Theta(h)$ , where h = height of the tree = max. path length from root to leaf

If n items are inserted one-at-a-time, how big is h?

- Worst-case:  $n-1 = \Theta(n)$
- Best-case: Θ(log n).
   Any binary tree with n nodes has height ≥ log(n + 1) − 1
- Average-case: Can show Θ(log n) for elements inserted in random order

# Outline

4

#### Dictionaries and Balanced Search Trees

- ADT Dictionary
- Review: Binary Search Trees
- AVL Trees
- Insertion in AVL Trees
- Restoring the AVL Property: Rotations

## **AVL** Trees

Introduced by Adel'son-Vel'skiĭ and Landis in 1962, an **AVL Tree** is a BST with an additional **height-balance property** at every node:

The heights of the left and right subtree differ by at most 1.

(The height of an empty tree is defined to be -1.)

Rephrase: If node v has left subtree L and right subtree R, then

**balance**(v) := height(R) - height(L) must be in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  balance(v) = -1 means v is *left-heavy* balance(v) = +1 means v is *right-heavy* 

## **AVL** Trees

Introduced by Adel'son-Vel'skiĭ and Landis in 1962, an **AVL Tree** is a BST with an additional **height-balance property** at every node:

The heights of the left and right subtree differ by at most 1.

(The height of an empty tree is defined to be -1.)

Rephrase: If node v has left subtree L and right subtree R, then

**balance**(v) := height(R) - height(L) must be in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  balance(v) = -1 means v is *left-heavy* balance(v) = +1 means v is *right-heavy* 

• Need to store at each node v the height of the subtree rooted at it

- Can show: It suffices to store *balance*(v) instead
  - uses fewer bits, but code gets more complicated

#### AVL tree example

(The lower numbers indicate the height of the subtree.)



#### AVL tree example

Alternative: store balance (instead of height) at each node.



# Height of an AVL tree

**Theorem:** An AVL tree on *n* nodes has  $\Theta(\log n)$  height.  $\Rightarrow$  search, insert, delete all cost  $\Theta(\log n)$  in the worst case!

#### Proof:

- Define N(h) to be the *least* number of nodes in a height-*h* AVL tree.
- What is a recurrence relation for N(h)?
- What does this recurrence relation resolve to?

# Outline

4

#### Dictionaries and Balanced Search Trees

- ADT Dictionary
- Review: Binary Search Trees
- AVL Trees

#### • Insertion in AVL Trees

• Restoring the AVL Property: Rotations

## AVL insertion

To perform AVL::insert(k, v):

- First, insert (k, v) with the usual BST insertion.
- We assume that this returns the new leaf z where the key was stored.
- Then, move up the tree from z, updating heights.
  - ▶ We assume for this that we have parent-links. This can be avoided if BST::Insert returns the full path to z.
- If the height difference becomes ±2 at node *z*, then *z* is **unbalanced**. Must re-structure the tree to rebalance.

#### AVL insertion

```
AVL::insert(k, v)
1. z \leftarrow BST::insert(k, v) // leaf where k is now stored
2. while (z is not NIL)
3.
           if (|z.left.height - z.right.height| > 1) then
4.
                Let y be taller child of z
5.
                Let x be taller child of y
6.
                z \leftarrow restructure(x, y, z) // see later
7.
                break // can argue that we are done
        setHeightFromSubtrees(z)
8.
9.
           z \leftarrow z.parent
```

#### setHeightFromSubtrees(u)

1.  $u.height \leftarrow 1 + \max\{u.left.height, u.right.height\}$ 









# Outline

#### Dictionaries and Balanced Search Trees

- ADT Dictionary
- Review: Binary Search Trees
- AVL Trees
- Insertion in AVL Trees
- Restoring the AVL Property: Rotations

#### How to "fix" an unbalanced AVL tree

**Note**: there are many different BSTs with the same keys.



**Goal**: change the *structure* among three nodes without changing the *order* and such that the subtree becomes balanced.

# **Right Rotation**

This is a **right rotation** on node *z*:



# $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{rotate-right(z)} \\ 1. & y \leftarrow z.\textit{left, } z.\textit{left} \leftarrow y.\textit{right, } y.\textit{right} \leftarrow z \\ 2. & setHeightFromSubtrees(z), \ setHeightFromSubtrees(y) \\ 3. & \textbf{return } y \ // \ returns \ new \ root \ of \ subtree \\ \end{array}$

Hunt, Veksler (CS-UW)

CS240 - Module 4









## Left Rotation

Symmetrically, this is a **left rotation** on node *z*:



Again, only two links need to be changed and two heights updated. Useful to fix right-right imbalance.

## **Double Right Rotation**

#### This is a **double right rotation** on node *z*:



First, a left rotation at y.

## **Double Right Rotation**

#### This is a **double right rotation** on node *z*:



First, a left rotation at y. Second, a right rotation at z.

# **Double Left Rotation**

Symmetrically, there is a **double left rotation** on node *z*:



First, a right rotation at y. Second, a left rotation at z.

# Fixing a slightly-unbalanced AVL tree



**Rule**: The middle key of x, y, z becomes the new root.

# AVL Insertion Example revisited



# AVL Insertion Example revisited















# AVL Deletion

Remove the key k with BST::delete.

Find node where *structural* change happened.

(This is not necessarily near the node that had k.) Go back up to root, update heights, and rotate if needed.

```
AVL::delete(k)
1. z \leftarrow BST::delete(k)
2. // Assume z is the parent of the BST node that was removed
     while (z is not NIL)
3.
            if (|z.left.height - z.right.height| > 1) then
4.
                 Let v be taller child of z
5.
6.
                 Let x be taller child of y (break ties to prefer single rotation)
7.
                 z \leftarrow restructure(x, y, z)
            // Always continue up the path and fix if needed.
8.
9.
            setHeightFromSubtrees(z)
10.
            z \leftarrow z.parent
```













**Important**: Ties *must* be broken to prefer single rotation. Consider again the above example. If we applied double-rotation:



**Important**: Ties *must* be broken to prefer single rotation. Consider again the above example. If we applied double-rotation:



Resulting tree is *not* an AVL-tree.

CS240 - Module 4

Winter 2023

26 / 27

## AVL Tree Operations Runtime

**search**: Just like in BSTs, costs  $\Theta(height)$ 

insert: BST::insert, then check & update along path to new leaf

- total cost  $\Theta(height)$
- restructure restores the height of the subtree to what it was,
- so restructure will be called at most once.

delete: BST::delete, then check & update along path to deleted node

- total cost  $\Theta(height)$
- restructure may be called  $\Theta(height)$  times.

*Worst-case* cost for all operations is  $\Theta(height) = \Theta(\log n)$ .

But in practice, the constant is quite large.