# University of Waterloo CS240E, Winter 2022 <br> Written Assignment 1 

## Due Date: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 at 5pm

Be sure to read the assignment guidelines (http://www.student.cs.uwaterloo.ca/ ~cs240e/w22/guidelines.pdf). Submit your solutions electronically as a PDF with file name a1sol.pdf using MarkUs. We will also accept individual question files named a1q1.pdf, a1q2.pdf, ... if you wish to submit questions as you complete them.

## Question 0 Academic Integrity Declaration

Read, sign and submit A01-AID.txt now or as soon as possible. Failure to do so will result in 0 marks on the entire assignment.

## Question 1 [5 marks]

There are two different definitions of 'little-omega' in the literature (to distinguish them, we will call them $\omega_{0}$ and $\omega_{1}$ here). Fix two functions $f(x), g(x)$. We say that

- $f(x) \in \omega_{0}(g(x))$ if for all $c>0$ there exists $n_{0}>0$ such that $|f(x)| \geq c|g(x)|$ for all $x \geq n_{0}$, and
- $f(x) \in \omega_{1}(g(x))$ if $g(x) \in o(f(x))$.

Show that these two definitions are equivalent, i.e., $f(x) \in \omega_{0}(g(x))$ if and only if $f(x) \in$ $\omega_{1}(g(x))$. Your proof must be from first principle, i.e., directly using the definitions (do not use the limit-rule). Note that $f(x), g(x)$ are not necessarily positive.

## Question $2 \quad[3+3+8=14$ marks]

Consider the following (rather strange) code-fragment:

```
Algorithm 1: mystery (int \(n\) )
    Input: \(n \geq 2\)
    \({ }_{1} L \leftarrow\lfloor\log (\log (n))\rfloor\)
    2 print all subsets of \(\left\{1, \ldots, 2^{L}\right\}\)
```

For example, for $n=17$, we have $\log 17 \approx 4.08$ and $\log (4.08) \approx 2.02$, so $\log \log (17) \approx 2.02$ and $L=2$ (and we print the 16 subsets of $\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ ). This question is really asking about the run-time of mystery, but to avoid having to deal with constants, define $f(n)$ to be the number of subsets that we are printing when calling mystery with parameter $n$.
(a) Show that $f(n) \in O(n)$.
(b) Show that $f(n) \in \Omega(\sqrt{n})$.
(c) Prof. Conn Fused thinks that $f(n) \in \Theta\left(n^{d}\right)$ for some constant $d$. (By the previous two parts, necessarily $\frac{1}{2} \leq d \leq 1$.) Show that Prof. Fused is wrong, or in other words, for any $\frac{1}{2} \leq d \leq 1$ we have $f(n) \notin \Theta\left(n^{d}\right)$.

## Question $3 \quad[2+6+4=12$ marks $]$

To reduce the height of the heap one could use a d-way heap. This is a tree where each node contains up to $d$ children, all except the bottommost level are completely filled, and the bottommost level is filled from the left. It also satisfies that the key at a parent is no smaller than the keys at all its children.
a) Explain how to store a $d$-way heap in an array $A$ of size $O(n)$ such that the root is at $A[0]$. Also state how you find parents and children of the node stored at $A[i]$. You need not justify your answer.
b) What is the height of a $d$-ary heap on $n$ nodes? Give a tight asymptotic bound that depends on $d$ and $n$. You may assume that $n$ and $d$ are sufficiently big (e.g. $d \geq 3$ and $n \geq 10$ ). Note that $d$ is not necessarily a constant.
c) Assume that $n \geq 4$ is a perfect square. What is the height of a $d$-ary heap for $d=\sqrt{n}$ ? Give an exact bound (i.e., not asymptotic).

## Question 4 [9 marks]

Consider a (max-oriented) meldable heap $H$ that holds $n$ integers. Describe an algorithm that is given $H$ and an integer $x$, and that finds all items in $H$ for which the priority is at least $x$. (Note that $x$ may or may not be in $H$.) Your algorithm should have $O(1+s)$ worst-case run-time, where $s$ is the number of items that were found.

## Question $5 \quad[3+7(+5)=10(+5)$ marks]

How would you implement increaseKey $(v, k)$ in a binomial heap? The method is given two parameters: a node $v$ and the new value $k$ that its key should have.
a) Prof. Dodo thinks he can implement this using fix-up as shown in Algorithm 22.

Show that Prof. Dodo is incorrect. Thus, give an example of a flagged tree that satisfies the binomial-heap-order property, indicate a node $v$ and a key $k>v . k e y$, and show that calling increaseKey $(v, k)$ with the code in Algorithm 2 would result in a flagged tree that does not satisfy the binomial-heap-order property. (Try to keep your tree small, no more than 16 nodes. )

```
Algorithm 2: \(\operatorname{increaseKey}(v, k)\)
    if \((k>v . k e y())\) then
        \(v . k e y \leftarrow k\)
        // perform fix-up
        while \(p \leftarrow v\).parent is not NIL and p.key \(<v . k e y\) do
            swap key-value pairs of \(v\) and \(p\)
            \(v \leftarrow p\)
```

b) Give an implementation of increaseKey in a binomial heap that has worst-case run-time $O(\log n)$.
c) (Bonus) Give an implementation of decreaseKey in a binomial heap, and state a tight run-time bound. Make your implementation as efficient as you can (the amount of bonus-marks given will depend on the asymptotic bound that you achieve).

