

# Abstract Data Types (ADTs) & Design

Readings: CP:AMA 19.5, 17.7 ([qsort](#))

# Selecting a data structure

In Computer Science, every data structure is some **combination** of the following “**core**” data structures.

- primitives (*e.g.*, an `int`)
- structures (*i.e.*, `struct`)
- arrays
- linked lists
- trees
- graphs

Selecting an appropriate data structure is important in **program design**. Consider a situation where you are choosing between an array, a linked list, and a BST. Some design considerations are:

- How frequently will you add items? remove items?
- How frequently will you search for items?
- Do you need to access an item at a specific position?
- Do you need to preserve the “original sequence” of the data, or can it be re-arranged?
- Can you have duplicate items?

Knowing the answers to these questions and the efficiency of each data structure function will help you make design decisions.

# Sequenced data

Consider the following strings to be stored in a data structure.

"Wei" "Jenny" "Ali"

Is the **original sequencing** important?

- If it's the result of a competition, yes: "Wei" is in first place.  
We call this type of data **sequenced**.
- If it's a list of friends to invite to a party, it is not important.  
We call this type of data **unsequenced** or "rearrangeable".

If the data is sequenced, then a data structure that *sorts* the data (*e.g.*, a BST) is likely not an appropriate choice. Arrays and linked lists are better suited for sequenced data.

## Data structure comparison: sequenced data

Function	Dynamic Array	Linked List
<code>item_at</code>	$O(1)$	$O(n)$
<code>search</code>	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
<code>insert_at</code>	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
<code>insert_front</code>	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
<code>insert_back</code>	$O(1)^*$	$O(1)^\dagger$
<code>remove_at</code>	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
<code>remove_front</code>	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
<code>remove_back</code>	$O(1)$	$O(1)^\diamond$

\* amortized

† requires a back pointer –  $O(n)$  without

◇ requires a *doubly* linked list and a back pointer –  $O(n)$  without.

## Data structure comparison: unsequenced (sorted) data

	Sorted	Sorted		Self-
	Dynamic	Linked	Regular	Balancing
Function	Array	List	BST	BST
select	$O(1)$	$O(n)$	$O(h)^\dagger$	$O(\log n)^\dagger$
search	$O(\log n)$	$O(n)$	$O(h)$	$O(\log n)$
insert	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(h)$	$O(\log n)$
remove	$O(n)$	$O(n)$	$O(h)$	$O(\log n)$

$^\dagger$  requires a count augmentation –  $O(n)$  without.

select( $k$ ) finds the item with index  $k$  in the structure.

For example, select(0) finds the smallest element.

## example: design decisions

- An array is a good choice if you frequently access elements at specific positions (random access).
- A linked list is a good choice for sequenced data if you frequently add and remove elements at the start.
- A self-balancing BST is a good choice for unsequenced data if you frequently search for, add and remove items.
- A sorted array is a good choice if you rarely add/remove elements, but frequently search for elements and select the data in sorted order.

# Implementing collection ADTs

A significant benefit of a collection ADT is that a client can use it “abstractly” without worrying about how it is implemented.

In practice, ADT modules are usually well-written, optimized and have a well documented interface.

In this course, we are interested in how to implement ADTs.



Typically, the collection ADTs are implemented as follows.

- **Stack**: linked lists or dynamic arrays
- **Queue**: linked lists
- **Sequence**: linked lists or dynamic arrays.

Some libraries provide two different ADTs (*e.g.*, a list and a vector) that provide the same interface but have different operation run-times.

- **Dictionary** (and **Sets**): self-balanced BSTs or hash tables\* .

\* A hash table is typically an array of linked lists (more on hash tables in CS 240).

# Beyond integers

In Section 10, we presented an implementation of a Stack ADT that only supported a stack of `integers`.

What if we want to have a stack of a different type?

There are three common strategies to solve this “type” problem in C:

- write a separate implementation for each possible item type,
- use a `typedef` to define the item type, or
- use a `void` pointer type (`void *`).

The first option is unwieldy and unsustainable. We first discuss the `typedef` strategy, and then the `void *` strategy.

We don't have this problem in Racket because of dynamic typing.

This is one reason why Racket and other dynamic typing languages are so popular.

Some statically typed languages have a *template* feature to avoid this problem. For example, in C++ a stack of integers is defined as:

```
stack<int> my_int_stack ;
```

The stack ADT (called a stack “container”) is built-in to the C++ STL (standard template library).

# typedef

The C `typedef` keyword creates new “types” from previously existing types. This is typically done to improve the code readability, or to hide the type (for security or flexibility).

```
typedef int Integer;  
typedef int *IntPtr;
```

```
Integer i;  
IntPtr p = &i;
```

It is common to use a different coding style (we use CamelCase) when defining a new “type” with `typedef`.

`typedef` is often used to simplify complex declarations  
(*e.g.*, function pointer types).

```
typedef int (* MapFn)(int);
```

```
int add1(int n) { return n+1; }
```

```
void array_map(MapFn f, int a[], int len) { // <- cleaner!  
    for (int i = 0; i < len; ++i) {  
        a[i] = f(a[i]);  
    }  
}
```

```
int main(void) {  
    int arr[6] = {4, 8, 15, 16, 23, 42};  
    array_map(add1, arr, 6);  
    MapFn f = add1;  
    array_map(f, arr, 6);  
    //...  
}
```

## Stack ADT: cleaner interface

```
struct stack;  
  
// use [Stack] instead of [struct stack *]  
typedef struct stack *Stack;  
  
// operations:  
  
Stack stack_create(void);  
  
bool stack_is_empty(Stack s);  
  
int stack_top(Stack s);  
  
int stack_pop(Stack s);  
  
void stack_push(int item, Stack s);  
  
void stack_destroy(Stack s);
```

Some programmers consider it poor style to use `typedef` to “abstract” that a type is a *pointer*, as it may accidentally lead to memory leaks.

A compromise is to use a type name that reflects that the type is a pointer (*e.g.*, `StackPtr`).

The Linux kernel programming style guide recommends avoiding `typedefs` altogether.

The “`typedef`” strategy is to define the type of each item (`ItemType`) in a separate header file (“`item.h`”) that can be provided by the client.

```
// item.h
typedef int ItemType;           // for stacks of ints
```

or...

```
// item.h
typedef struct posn ItemType;  // for stacks of posns
```

The ADT module would then be implemented with this `ItemType`.

```
#include "item.h"

void stack_push(Stack S, ItemType i);

ItemType stack_top(Stack s);
```



Having a client-defined `ItemType` is a popular approach for small applications, but it does not support having two different stack types in the same application.

The `typedef` approach can also be problematic if `ItemType` is a pointer type and it is used with dynamic memory. In this case, calling `stack_destroy` may cause a memory leak.

Memory management issues are even more of a concern with the third approach (`void *`).

# void pointers

The `void` pointer (`void *`) is the closest C has to a “generic” type, which makes it suitable for ADT implementations.

`void` pointers can point to “any” type, and are essentially just memory addresses. They can be converted to any other type of pointer, but **they cannot be directly dereferenced**.

```
int i = 42;
void *vp = &i;
int j = *vp;      // INVALID
int *ip = vp;
int k = *ip;      // VALID
```

While some C conversions are *implicit* (e.g., `char` to `int`), there is a C language feature known as **casting**, which *explicitly* “forces” a type conversion.

To cast an expression, place the destination type in parentheses to the left of the expression. This example casts a “`void *`” to an “`int *`”, which can then be dereferenced

```
int i = 42;
void *vp = &i;
int j = *(int *)vp;
```

A useful application of casting is to avoid integer division when working with floats (see CP:AMA 7.4).

```
float one_half = ((float) 1) / 2;
```

# Implementing ADTs with void pointers

There are two complications that arise from implementing ADTs with `void` pointers:

- **Memory management** is a problem because a protocol must be established to determine if the client or the ADT is responsible for freeing item data.
- **Comparisons** are a problem because some ADTs must be able to compare items when searching and sorting.

Both problems also arise in the `typedef` approach.

The solution to the **memory management** problem is to make the *ADT interface explicitly clear* whose responsibility it is to **free** any item data: the client or the ADT. Both choices present problems.

For example, when it is the **client's responsibility** to **free** items, care must be taken to retrieve and **free** every item before a **destroy** operation, otherwise **destroy** could cause memory leaks.

A precondition to the **destroy** operation could be that the ADT is empty (all items have been removed).

When it is the **ADT's responsibility**, problems arise if the items contain additional dynamic memory.

For example, consider if we desire a **sequence of stacks**, where each stack is an instance of the stack ADT. If the sequence `remove_at` operation simply calls `free` on the item, it causes a memory leak as the stack data is not freed.

To solve this problem, the client can provide a customized `free` function for the ADT to call (*e.g.*, `stack_destroy`).

## example: stack interface with void pointers

```
// (partial interface) CLIENT'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FREE ITEMS

// stack_push(s, i) puts item i on top of the stack
// NOTE: The caller should not free the item until it is popped
void stack_push(Stack s, void *i);

// stack_top(s) returns the top but does not pop it
// NOTE: The caller should not free the item until it is popped
const void *stack_top(Stack s);

// stack_pop(s) removes the top item and returns it
// NOTE: The caller is responsible for freeing the item
void *stack_pop(Stack s);

// stack_destroy(s) destroys the stack
// requires: The stack must be empty (all items popped)
void stack_destroy(Stack s);
```

## example: client interface

```
// This program reads in strings
// and then prints them in reverse order

#include "stack.h"

int main(void) {
    Stack s = stack_create();
    while(1) {
        char *str = read_str(); // from Sec 10
        if (!str) break;
        push(s, str);
    }
    while(!is_empty(s)) {
        char *str = pop(s);
        printf("%s\n", str);
        free(str);
    }
    stack_destroy(s);
}
```



# Comparison functions

The dictionary and set ADTs often *sort* and *compare* their items, which is a problem if the item types are `void` pointers.

To solve this problem, we can provide the ADT with a ***comparison function*** (pointer) when the ADT is created.

The ADT would then just call the comparison function whenever a comparison is necessary.

The `return` value of a comparison function `f(a, b)` follows the `strcmp(a, b)` convention:

- negative: `a` precedes `b`
- zero: `a` is equivalent to `b`
- positive: `a` follows `b`

```
// a comparison function for integers
int compare_ints(const void *a, const void *b) {
    const int *ia = a;
    const int *ib = b;
    return *ia - *ib;
}
```

A `typedef` can be used to make declarations less complicated.

```
typedef int (*CompFuncPtr) (const void *, const void *);
```

## example: dictionary

```
// dictionary.h (partial interface)

struct dictionary;
typedef struct dictionary *Dictionary;

typedef int (*DictKeyCompare) (const void *, const void *);

// create a dictionary that uses key comparison function f
Dictionary dict_create(DictKeyCompare f);

// lookup key k in Dictionary d
const void *dict_lookup(Dictionary d, void *k);
```

```

// dictionary.c (partial implementation)

struct bstnode {
    void *item;           // key
    void *value;         // additional value (augmentation)
    struct bstnode *left;
    struct bstnode *right;
};

struct dictionary {
    struct bstnode *root;
    DictKeyCompare key_compare; // function pointer
};

Dictionary dict_create(DictKeyCompare f) {
    Dictionary d = malloc(sizeof(struct dictionary));
    d->root = NULL;
    d->key_compare = f;
    return d;
}

```

This implementation of `dict_lookup` illustrates how the comparison function would work.

```
const void *dict_lookup(void *key, Dictionary d) {
    struct bstnode *node = d->root;
    while (node) {
        int result = d->key_compare(key, node->item);
        if (result == 0) {
            return node->value;
        }
        if (result < 0) {
            node = node->left;
        } else {
            node = node->right;
        }
    }
    return NULL;
}
```

# C generic algorithms

Now that we are comfortable with `void` pointers, we can use C's built-in `qsort` function.

`qsort` is part of `<stdlib.h>` and can sort an array of any type.

This is known as a “generic” algorithm.

`qsort` requires a comparison function (pointer) that is used identically to the comparison approach we described for ADTs.

```
void qsort(void *arr, int len, size_t size,  
          CompFuncPtr f);
```

The other parameters of `qsort` are an array of any type, the length of the array (number of elements), and the `sizeof` each element.

## example: qsort

```
// see previous definition
int compare_ints (const void *a, const void *b);

int main(void) {

    int a[7] = {8, 6, 7, 5, 3, 0, 9};

    qsort(a, 7, sizeof(int), compare_ints);

    //...
}
```

C also provides a generic binary search (`bsearch`) function that searches any sorted array for a key, and either returns a pointer to the element if found, or `NULL` if not found.

```
void *bsearch(void *key,  
             void *arr,  
             int len,  
             size_t size,  
             CompFuncPtr f);
```



# Goals of this Section

At the end of this section, you should be able to:

- determine an appropriate data structure or ADT for a given design problem
- describe the memory management issues related to using `void` pointers in ADTs and how `void` pointer comparison functions can be used with generic ADTs and generic algorithms