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Data Storage and Transmission

The problem: How to store and transmit data?

Source text The original data, string of characters $S$ from the source alphabet $\Sigma_S$

Coded text The encoded data, string of characters $C$ from the coded alphabet $\Sigma_C$

Encoding An algorithm mapping source texts to coded texts
Decoding An algorithm mapping coded texts back to their original source text

Note: Source "text" can be any sort of data (not always text!)

Usually the coded alphabet $\Sigma_C$ is just binary: $\{0, 1\}$.

Judging Encoding Schemes

We can always measure efficiency of encoding/decoding algorithms.

What other goals might there be?

○ Processing speed
○ Reliability (e.g. error-correcting codes)
○ Security (e.g. encryption)
○ Size

Encoding schemes that try to minimize $|C|$, the size of the coded text, perform data compression. We will measure the compression ratio:

$$\frac{|C| \cdot \log |\Sigma_C|}{|S| \cdot \log |\Sigma_S|}$$
Types of Data Compression

Logical vs. Physical
- **Logical Compression** uses the meaning of the data and only applies to a certain domain (e.g. sound recordings)
- **Physical Compression** only knows the physical bits in the data, not the meaning behind them

Lossy vs. Lossless
- **Lossy Compression** achieves better compression ratios, but the decoding is approximate; the exact source text $S$ is not recoverable
- **Lossless Compression** always decodes $S$ exactly

For media files, lossy, logical compression is useful (e.g. JPEG, MPEG)
We will concentrate on physical, lossless compression algorithms. These techniques can safely be used for any application.

Character Encodings

Standard character encodings provide a matching from the source alphabet $\Sigma_S$ (sometimes called a charset) to binary strings.

ASCII (American Standard Code for Information Interchange):
- Developed in 1963
- 7 bits to encode 128 possible characters: “control codes”, spaces, letters, digits, punctuation
- Not well-suited for non-English text: ISO-8859 extends to 8 bits, handles most Western languages
- To decode ASCII, we look up each 7-bit pattern in a table.
- ASCII is called a **fixed-length code** because every key string in $D$ has the same length (7 bits)

Other (earlier) codes: Morse code, Baudot code

Variable-Length Codes

**Definition:** Different key strings in $D$ have different lengths

The UTF-8 encoding of Unicode provides a simple example:
- Encodes any Unicode character (more than 107,000 characters) using 1-4 bytes
- Every ASCII character is encoded in 1 byte with leading bit 0, followed by the 7 bits for ASCII
- Otherwise, the first byte starts with 1-4 1’s indicating the total number of bytes, followed by a 0 and 3-5 bits. The remaining bytes each start with 10 followed by 6 bits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Char. number range (hexadecimal)</th>
<th>UTF-8 octet sequence (binary)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0000 0000–0000 07F</td>
<td>0xxxxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000 0080–0000 07FF</td>
<td>110xxxx 10xxxxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0000 0800–0000 FFFF</td>
<td>1110xxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0001 0000–0010 FFFF</td>
<td>11110xxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx 10xxxxxx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Decoding

We need a decoding algorithm mapping $\Sigma^*_C \rightarrow \Sigma^*_S$:
- A code must be *uniquely decodable*
- It is helpful if a code is *prefix-free* (why?)
- A prefix-free code has a dictionary $\Sigma^*_C \rightarrow \Sigma^*_S$
- Dictionary:
  - Might be used and stored explicitly (e.g. as a trie), or only implicitly
  - Might be agreed in advance (*fixed coding*),
    stored alongside the message (*static coding*),
    or stored implicitly within the message (*adaptive coding*)

Character Frequency

**Observation**: Some letters in $\Sigma$ occur more often than others.
So let’s use shorter codes for more frequent characters.

For example, the frequency of letters in typical English text is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>12.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>9.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>8.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td>7.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>6.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>6.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>6.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>6.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>5.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>4.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>4.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>2.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>2.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>2.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w</td>
<td>2.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>2.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>2.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>1.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>1.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Huffman Coding

- Source alphabet is arbitrary (say $\Sigma$), coded alphabet is $\{0, 1\}$
- We build a binary trie to store the decoding dictionary $D$
- Each character of $\Sigma$ is a leaf of the trie

Example: $\Sigma = \{A\varepsilon N O T\}$

```
          0
         / \
        0   1
       /     \    
      0       1     1
     /   \    /     /  \
    0      A   0     T
   / \     / \    /   \
  N  O  E  Q  R  S
```
Huffman Encoding/Decoding Example

- Encode AN, ANT
- Decode 111000001010111

Building the best trie

For a given source text $S$, how to determine the “best” trie which minimizes the length of $C$?

1. Determine the frequency of each character $c \in \Sigma$ in $S$
2. Make $|\Sigma|$ height-0 tries holding each character $c \in \Sigma$.
   - Assign a “weight” to each trie: sum of frequencies of all letters in trie (initially, these are just the character frequencies)
3. Merge two tries with the least weights, new weight is their sum
   (corresponds to adding one bit to the encoding of each character)
4. Repeat Step 3 until there is only 1 trie left; this is $D$.

What data structure should we store the tries in to make this efficient?

Building trie example

Example text: LOSSLESS
Huffman Coding Summary

- Encoder must do lots of work:
  1. Build decoding trie (one pass through $S$, cost is $O(|S| + |\Sigma| \log |\Sigma|)$)
  2. Construct encoding dictionary from the trie mapping $\Sigma \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$
  3. Encode $S \rightarrow C$ (second pass through $S$)
- Note: constructed trie is not necessarily unique (why?)
- Decoding trie must be transmitted along with the coded text $C$
- Decoding is faster; this is an asymmetric scheme.
- The constructed trie is an optimal one that will give the shortest $C$
  (we will not go through the proof)
- Huffman is the best we can do for encoding one character at a time.

Run-Length Encoding

- Variable-length code with a fixed decoding dictionary, but one which is not explicitly stored.
- Not a character-encoding (multiple characters represented by one dictionary-entry)
- The source alphabet and coded alphabet are both binary: $\{0, 1\}$.

Observation: 0’s and 1’s in $S$ may be repeated many times in a row (called a “run”).

$S$ is encoded as the first bit of $S$ (either 0 or 1), followed by a sequence of integers indicating run lengths.
(We don’t have to encode the value of each bit since it will alternate.)

Question: How to encode a run length $k$ in binary?

Prefix-free Integer Encoding

The encoding of run-length $k$ must be prefix-free, because the decoder has to know when to stop reading $k$.

We will encode the binary length of $k$ in unary, followed by the actual value of $k$ in binary.

The binary length of $k$ is $\text{len}(k) = \lfloor \log k \rfloor + 1$.
Since $k \geq 1$, we will encode $\text{len}(k) - 1$, which is at least 0.

The prefix-free encoding of the positive integer $k$ is in two parts:

1. $\lfloor \log k \rfloor$ copies of 0, followed by
2. The binary representation of $k$

Examples: $1 \rightarrow 1$, $3 \rightarrow 011$, $5 \rightarrow 00101$, $23 \rightarrow 000010111$
RLE Example

\[ S = 11111100100000000000000000011111111111 \]

RLE Properties

- Compression ratio could be smaller than 1%
- Usually, we are not that lucky:
  - No compression until run-length \( k \geq 6 \)
  - Expansion when run-length \( k = 2 \) or \( 4 \)
- Method can be adapted to larger alphabet sizes
- Used in some image formats (e.g. TIFF)

Adaptive Dictionaries

ASCII, UTF-8, and RLE use fixed dictionaries.

In Huffman, the dictionary is not fixed, but it is static: the dictionary is the same for the entire encoding/decoding.

Properties of adaptive encoding:

- There is an initial dictionary \( D_0 \). Usually this is fixed.
- For \( i \geq 0 \), \( D_i \) is used to determine the \( i \)'th output character
- After writing the \( i \)'th character to output, both encoder and decoder update \( D_i \) to \( D_{i+1} \)

Note that both encoder and decoder must have the same information. Usually encoding and decoding algorithms will have the same cost.
Longer Patterns in Input

Huffman and RLE mostly take advantage of frequent or repeated single characters.

**Observation:** Certain substrings are much more frequent than others.

Examples:
- English text:
  - Most frequent digraphs: TH, ER, ON, AN, RE, HE, IN, ED, ND, HA
  - Most frequent trigraphs: THE, AND, THA, ENT, ION, TIO, FOR, NDE
- HTML: "<a href", "<img src", "<br>
- Video: repeated background between frames, shifted sub-image

Lempel-Ziv

Lempel-Ziv is a family of adaptive compression algorithms.

**Main Idea:** Each character in the coded text $C$ either refers to a single character in $\Sigma_S$, or a substring of $S$ that both encoder and decoder have already seen.

**Variants:**
- **LZ77** Original version ("sliding window")
  - Derivatives: LZSS, LZFG, LZRW, LZP, DEFLATE, ...
  - DEFLATE used in (pk)zip, gzip, PNG
- **LZ78** Second (slightly improved) version
  - Derivatives: LZW, LZMW, LZAP, LZY, ...
  - LZW used in compress, GIF
  - Patent issues!

LZW Overview

- Fixed-width encoding using $k$ bits (e.g. $k = 12$).
  - Store decoding dictionary with $2^k$ entries.
- First $|\Sigma_S|$ entries are for single characters,
  - remaining entries involve multiple characters
- **Encoding:** after encoding a substring $x$ of $S$, add $xc$ to $D$ where $c$ is the character that follows $x$
- **Decoding:** after decoding a substring $y$ of $S$, add $xc$ to $D$,
  - where $x$ is previously encoded/decoded substring of $S$,
  - and $c$ is the first character of $y$
  - Note: start adding to $D$ after second substring of $S$ is decoded
LZW Example

**Input:** YO! YOU! YOUR YOYO!

\[ \Sigma_S = \text{ASCII character set} \ (0-127) \]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
Y & O & ! & - & YO & U & ! & Y & O & YO \\
C = & 89 & 79 & 33 & 32 & 128 & 85 & 130 & 132 & 82 & 131 & 79 & 128
\end{array}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>YO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>O!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>U!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>ωY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>YOU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>U!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>I,Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>YOUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>R!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>ωY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>OY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>YO!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LZW encoding pseudocode**

\[
\text{LZW-encode}(S) \\
1. \quad w \leftarrow \text{NIL} \\
2. \quad \text{while there is input in } S \text{ do} \\
3. \quad K \leftarrow \text{next symbol from } S \\
4. \quad \text{if } wK \text{ exists in the dictionary} \\
5. \quad \quad w \leftarrow wK \\
6. \quad \text{else} \\
7. \quad \quad \text{output index}(w) \\
8. \quad \quad \text{add } wK \text{ to the dictionary} \\
9. \quad \quad w \leftarrow K \\
10. \quad \text{output index}(w)
\]

**LZW decoding**

- Same idea: build dictionary while reading string.
- Example: 67 65 78 32 66 129 133

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code #</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code #</th>
<th>String (human)</th>
<th>String (computer)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>67,A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>ω</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>???</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LZW decoding: the catch

- In this example: Want to decode 133, but not yet in dictionary!
- Generally: decoder is “one step behind” in creating dictionary
- So problem occurs if we want to use a code that we’re about to build.
- But then we actually know what’s going on!
  - Say we’re seeing code-number $k$ at the time when we’re assigning $k$.
  - Encoder assigned $k \rightarrow s_{\text{prev}} + s[0]$.
  - Decoder knows $s_{\text{prev}}$ (decoded in previous step).
  - Decoder wants $s$, i.e., what $k$ encodes, i.e., $s_{\text{prev}} + s[0]$.
  - Therefore $s[0] = \text{first character of } s_{\text{prev}}$.
  - Therefore $s = s_{\text{prev}} + s_{\text{prev}}[0]$.

LZW decoding pseudocode

```plaintext
LZW-decode(S)
1. $D \leftarrow \text{dictionary that maps } \{0, \ldots, 127\} \text{ to ASCII}$
2. $\text{idx} \leftarrow 128$
3. $\text{code} \leftarrow \text{first code from } S$
4. $s \leftarrow D(\text{code}); \text{output } s$
5. while there are more codes in $S$ do
6.   $s_{\text{prev}} \leftarrow s$
7.   $\text{code} \leftarrow \text{next code of } S$
8.   if $\text{code} == \text{idx}$ do
9.     $s \leftarrow s_{\text{prev}} + s_{\text{prev}}[0]$
10. else
11.     $s \leftarrow D(\text{code})$
12.    output $s$
13.    $D.\text{insert}(\text{idx}, s_{\text{prev}} + s[0])$
14. $\text{idx} \leftarrow \text{idx} + 1$
```

LZW decoding example revisited

- Example: 67 65 78 32 66 129 133 83

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code #</th>
<th>String</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$$D = \begin{array}{c|c}
32 & \_ \\
65 & A \\
66 & B \\
67 & C \\
78 & \_ \\
83 & S \\
\end{array}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>input</th>
<th>decodes to</th>
<th>Code #</th>
<th>String (human)</th>
<th>String (computer)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td>68,N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>_N</td>
<td>78,_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>_B</td>
<td>32,B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>68,A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>ANA</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>ANA</td>
<td>129,A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>ANAS</td>
<td>133,S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Compression summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Huffman</th>
<th>run-length encoding</th>
<th>Lempel-Ziv-Welch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>variable-length</td>
<td>variable-length</td>
<td>fixed-length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>single-character</td>
<td>multi-character</td>
<td>multi-character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-pass</td>
<td>1-pass</td>
<td>1-pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60% compression on English text</td>
<td>bad on text</td>
<td>45% compression on English text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>optimal 01-prefix-code</td>
<td>good on long runs (e.g., pictures)</td>
<td>good on English text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must send dictionary</td>
<td>can be worse than ASCII</td>
<td>can be worse than ASCII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rarely used directly (part of pkzip, JPEG, MP3)</td>
<td>rarely used directly (fax machines, old picture-formats)</td>
<td>frequently used (GIF, some variants of PDF)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Text transformations

For efficient compression, we need
- frequently repeating characters and/or
- frequently repeating substrings

**Idea:** Modify the text first so that these are likely.

### Move-to-Front

Recall the MTF heuristic for self-organizing search:
- Dictionary is stored as an unsorted linked list
- After an element is accessed, move it to the front of the list.

How can we use this idea for text transformations?

Take advantage of locality in the data.
If we see a character now, we'll probably see it again soon.

**Specifics:** MTF is an adaptive compression algorithm.
If the source alphabet is $\Sigma_S$ with size $|\Sigma_S| = m$,
then the coded alphabet will be $\Sigma_C = \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\}$. 
Move-to-Front Encoding/Decoding

**MTF-encode(S)**
1. \( L \leftarrow \) linked list with \( \Sigma_S \) in some pre-agreed, fixed order
2. while \( S \) has more characters do
3. \( c \leftarrow \) next character of \( S \)
4. output index \( i \) such that \( L[i] = c \)
5. Move \( c \) to position \( L[0] \)

Decoding works in exactly the same way:

**MTF-decode(C)**
1. \( L \leftarrow \) linked list with \( \Sigma_S \) in some pre-agreed, fixed order
2. while \( C \) has more characters do
3. \( i \leftarrow \) next integer from \( C \)
4. output \( L[i] \)
5. Move \( L[i] \) to position \( L[0] \)

**MTF Example**

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25
A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

\( S = \) INEFFICIENCIES

\( C = \)

- What does a run in \( S \) encode to in \( C \)?
- What does a run in \( C \) mean about the source \( S \)?

**MTF Compression Ratio**

So far, MTF does not provide any compression on its own (why?)

We need to encode the integer sequence.

Two possible approaches:
Burrows-Wheeler Transform

The Burrows-Wheeler Transform is a sophisticated compression technique
- Transforms source text to a coded text with the same letters, just in a different order
- *The coded text will be more easily compressible with MTF*
- Compression algorithm does not make just a few “passes” over S. BWT is a block compression method.
- (As we will see) decoding is more efficient than encoding, so BWT is an asymmetric scheme.

BWT (followed by MTF, RLE, and Huffman) is the algorithm used by the *bzip2* program.

It achieves the best compression of any algorithm we have seen (at least on English text).

BWT Encoding

A cyclic shift of a string $X$ of length $n$ is the concatenation of $X[i+1..n-1]$ and $X[0..i]$, for $0 \leq i < n$.

For Burrows-Wheeler, we assume the source text $S$ ends with a special end-of-word character $\$" that occurs nowhere else in $S$.

The Burrows-Wheeler Transform proceeds in three steps:
- Place all cyclic shifts of $S$ in a list $L$
- Sort the strings in $L$ lexicographically
- $C$ is the list of trailing characters of each string in $L$

BWT Example

$S = \text{alf\_eats\_alfalfa}\$

- Write all cyclic shifts
- Sort cyclic shifts
- Extract last characters from sorted shifts

$C =$
BWT Decoding

**Idea:** Given C, We can generate the *first column* of the array by sorting. This tells us which character comes after each character in S.

**Decoding Algorithm:**
View the coded text C as an array of characters.
1. Make array of A of tuples \((C[i], i)\)
2. Sort A by the characters, record integers in array N (Note: \(C[N[i]]\) follows \(C[i]\) in S, for all \(0 \leq i < n\))
3. Set j to index of $ in C and S to empty string
4. Set \(j ← N[j]\) and append \(C[j]\) to S
5. Repeat Step 4 until \(C[j] = $\)

BWT Decoding Example

\[C = \text{ard$rcaaaabb}\]
\[S = \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>(\text{sort}(A))</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a, 0</td>
<td>$, 3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r, 1</td>
<td>a, 0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d, 2</td>
<td>a, 6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$, 3</td>
<td>a, 7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r, 4</td>
<td>a, 8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, 5</td>
<td>a, 9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, 6</td>
<td>b, 10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, 7</td>
<td>b, 11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, 8</td>
<td>c, 5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, 9</td>
<td>d, 2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, 10</td>
<td>r, 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, 11</td>
<td>r, 4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BWT Overview

**Encoding cost:** \(O(n^2)\) (using radix sort)
- Sorting cyclic shifts is equivalent to sorting suffixes
- This can be done by traversing suffix trie
- Possible in \(O(n)\) time

**Decoding cost:** \(O(n)\) (faster than encoding)

Encoding and decoding both use \(O(n)\) space.

Tends to be slower than other methods but (combined with MTF and Huffman) give better compression.