

# Lecture 11+12

## Context-Free Languages

*CS 241: Foundations of Sequential Programs*  
Winter 2018

Troy Vasiga et al  
University of Waterloo

# Big Picture of Compilation

Big picture:

- ▶ lexical analysis
  
- ▶ syntactic analysis
  
- ▶ context-sensitive (semantic) analysis
  
- ▶ synthesis (code generation)

Other points:

- ▶ staging can improve error messages
- ▶ staging uses the “right tool for the job”
- ▶ doing extra-work at an early stage is possible but over-complicating

# Non-regular languages

Give a DFA over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  for

$$L = \{w: \text{numbers of a's in } w = \text{number of b's in } w\}$$

# Why the previous language is important

- ▶ L is not a regular language, but it is *context-free*
- ▶ Note that a compiler needs to do this and then some:

# Context-Free Languages

- ▶ Context-free languages are built from:
  - ▶ finite sets
  - ▶ concatenation
  - ▶ union
  - ▶ recursion
  
- ▶ recognizers for regular languages use a finite amount of memory
  
- ▶ recognizers for context-free languages use a finite amount of memory plus one (unbounded) stack

# Context-Free Grammars

A way to specify a context-free language.

## A CFG Example

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow D$$

$$D \rightarrow cD$$

$$D \rightarrow \epsilon$$

# Discussion of example

- ▶ G:
- ▶  $L(G)$ :
- ▶ a word:
- ▶ a derivation:
- ▶ alternation and concatenation
- ▶ recursion vs. repetition



# Formal Definition

A context-free grammar (CFG) consists of

- ▶  $N$

- ▶  $T$

- ▶  $P$

- ▶  $S$

## Example, more formally

From the example, what is N, T, P, S?

$$S \rightarrow aSb$$

$$S \rightarrow D$$

$$D \rightarrow cD$$

$$D \rightarrow \epsilon$$

## Example: balanced parentheses

Example words:

CFG:

A sample derivation:

## Binary expressions

Words are composed of binary numbers (no leading zeros, other than 0) with + or - signs in infix notation.

Example words: 1001, 10+1, 11-11110+0

CFG:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.

## Derivations

Two derivations of  $10+1$  using the previous grammar:

## Formal Definitions

We say that  $\alpha A \beta$  *directly derives*  $\alpha \gamma \beta$  if there exists a production rule  $A \rightarrow \gamma$ .

Also called a *derivation step*.

We say that  $\alpha A \beta$  *derives*  $\alpha \gamma \beta$  if

## Left-most and right-most derivations (formally)

## Formal Definitions (continued)

$G$  derives  $w \in T^*$  if

$L(G) =$

$L$  is context-free if



# Derivations as Proofs

# Parse Trees

Example:

Discussion:

# Meaning of a parse tree

## Problems that grammars can encounter: Ambiguity

A real-world example of ambiguity:

## Ambiguity in CFGs: Formal Definition

- ▶ A string  $x$  is ambiguous if...

- ▶ A CFG  $G$  is ambiguous if...

# Problems that grammars can encounter: Ambiguity

Terminology:

## Problems that grammars can encounter: Ambiguity

Consider the binary expression grammar, and  $1-10+11$

## Fixing ambiguity

Let's rewrite our binary expression grammar differently.

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.



# Ambiguity in programming languages

- ▶ Some programming languages have ambiguous grammars
  
- ▶ Pascal has a “dangling else”
  
- ▶ “Fixed” by way of a footnote

# Associativity

$$1-10+11$$

## Fixing associativity problems

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

# Precedence Problems

Adding multiplication to the grammar.

Consider  $1*10+11$ .

Consider  $1+10*11$

## Fixing precedence problems: grammar

## Fixing precedence problems: parse tree

# Regular Languages are Context-Free Languages

# Regular Languages vs. Context-Free Languages



# Assignment Tips

- ▶ WLP grammar
- ▶ .cfg file format
- ▶ recursion is your friend

# Summary

- ▶ Context-free grammars are used to specify a language
- ▶ Derivations (or parse trees) act as proof of a word  $w \in L(G)$
- ▶ We would really like to generate derivations automatically