CS 341: ALGORITHMS

Lecture 2: divide & conquer I

Readings: see website

Trevor Brown

https://student.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~cs341

trevor.brown@uwaterloo.ca

1

ONE DOES NOT SIMPLY

UNDERSTAND RECURSION WITHOUT UNDERSTANDING RECURSION

DIVIDE AND CONQUER

Notable algorithms: mergesort, quicksort, binary search, ...

DIVIDE-AND-CONQUER DESIGN STRATEGY

- divide: Given a problem instance I, construct one or more smaller problem instances I₁,..., I_a
 - These are called subproblems
 - Usually, want subproblems to be small compared to the size of I (e.g., half the size)
- conquer: For $1 \le j \le a$, solve instance I_j recursively, obtaining solutions S_1, \dots, Sa
- combine: Given solutions S₁, ..., Sa, use an appropriate combining function to find the solution S to the problem instance I
 - i.e., $S = \text{Combine}(S_1, \dots, Sa)$.

D&C PROTO-ALGORITHM

1 DnC_template(I) 2 if BaseCase(I) return Result(I) 3 subproblems = [I_1, I_2, ..., I_a] 4 subsolutions = [] 5 for j = 1..a 6 subsolutions[j] = DnC_template(I_j) 7 return Combine(subsolutions)

CORRECTNESS

3

4

5

6

- DnC template(I)
 - if BaseCase(I) return Result(I)
 subproblems = [I_1, I_2, ..., I_a]
 subsolutions = []
 for j = 1..a
 subsolutions[j] = DnC_template(I_j)
 return Combine(subsolutions)
- Prove base cases are correct
- Inductively assume subproblems are solved correctly
- Show they are correctly assembled into a solution

RUNTIME/SPACE COMPLEXITY?

- 1 DnC_template(I) 2 if BaseCase(I) return Result(I) 3 subproblems = [I_1, I_2, ..., I_a] 4 subsolutions = [] 5 for j = 1..a 6 subsolutions[j] = DnC_template(I_j) 7 return Combine(subsolutions)
- Techniques covered in this lecture
 - Model complexities using recurrence relations
 - Solve with substitution, master theorem, etc.

WORKED EXAMPLE: DESIGN OF MERGESORT

Here, a problem instance consists of an array A of n integers, which we want to sort in increasing order. The size of the problem instance is n.

divide: Split A into two subarrays: A_L consists of the first $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ elements in A and A_R consists of the last $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ elements in A.

conquer: Run *Mergesort* on A_L and A_R .

combine: After A_L and A_R have been sorted, use a function *Merge* to merge A_L and A_R into a single sorted array. Recall that this can be done in time $\Theta(n)$ with a single pass through A_L and A_R . We simply keep track of the "current" element of A_L and A_R , always copying the smaller one into the sorted array.





MERGE SIMULATION



PSEUDOCODE FOR MERGESORT

Mergesort(A[1..n])

3

4

5

6

8

- if n == 1 then return A
- nL = ceil(n/2)
- aL = A[1..nL]
- aR = A[(nL+1)..n]
- sL = Mergesort(aL)
- sR = Mergesort(aR)

return Merge(sL, sR)



ANALYSIS OF MERGESORT



Hulk(n) = Face - Chin + Hulk(n-1)

RECURRENCE RELATIONS

A crucial analysis tool for recursive algorithms

RECURRENCE RELATIONS

Suppose a_1, a_2, \ldots , is an infinite sequence of real numbers.

A recurrence relation is a formula that expresses a general term a_n in terms of one or more previous terms a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} .

A recurrence relation will also specify one or more initial values starting at a_1 .

Solving a recurrence relation means finding a formula for a_n that does not involve any previous terms a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} .

There are many methods of solving recurrence relations. Two important methods are **guess-and-check** and the **recursion tree method**.

MATHEMATICALLY EXPRESSING THE COMPLEXITY OF MERGESORT

Let T(n) denote the time to run *Mergesort* on an array of length n. divide takes time $\Theta(1)$ conquer takes time $T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right)$ T(n) is a function of T(...) so T is a recurrence relation **combine** takes time $\Theta(n)$ How can we compute/solve for T(n)? Recurrence relation: To make this easier, $T(n) = \begin{cases} T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) + T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1\\ \Theta(1) & \text{if } n = 1. \end{cases}$ assume $n = 2^k$, which lets us ignore floors/ceilings





RECURSION TREE METHOD FORMALIZED

Sample recurrence for **two** recursive calls on problem size *n*/2

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn & \text{if } n > 1 \text{ is a power of } 2\\ d & \text{if } n = 1, \end{cases}$$

where c and d are constants.

We can solve this recurrence relation when n is a power of two, by constructing a recursion tree, as follows:

- Step 1Start with a one-node tree, say N, having the value T(n).Step 2Grow two children of N. These children, say N_1 and N_2 ,
have the value T(n/2), and the value of N is replaced by cn.
- Step 3 Repeat this process recursively, terminating when a node receives the value T(1) = d.
- Step 4 Sum the values on each level of the tree, and then compute the sum of all these sums; the result is T(n).

GUESS-AND-CHECK METHOD

Suppose we have the following recurrence

T(0) = 4; T(n) = T(n-1) + 6n - 5

- Guess the form of the solution any way you like
- My approach: the substitution method
 - Recursively substitute the formula into itself
 - Try to identify patterns to **guess** the final closed form
- Prove that the guess was correct

In Mafh, I Use fhe GUESS & Hope Method

SUBSTITUTION METHOD: WORKED EXAMPLE Recurrence: T(0) = 4; T(n) = T(n-1) + 6n - 5Compare: new terms? • T(n-1) = T((n-1)-1) + 6(n-1) - 5+(6n-5)-6 • T(n) = (T(n-2) + 6(n-1) - 5) + 6n - 5(substitute) = T(n-2) + 2(6n-5) - 6 (try to preserve structure) • = (T(n-3) + 6(n-2) - 5) + 2(6n-5) - 6 (substitute) • $= T(n-3) + 3(6n-5) - 6(1+2) \leftarrow \text{new terms?} + (6n-5) - 2(6)$ • ... identify patterns and guess what happens in the limit $= T(0) + n(6n - 5) - 6(1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n - 1)) = guess(n)$ •

- $guess(n) = T(0) + n(6n-5) 6(1+2+3+\dots+(n-1))$
- Use $1 + 2 + \dots + (n 1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
- $guess(n) = 4 + 6n^2 5n 6n(n-1)/2$ (simplify)
 - $=3n^2-2n+4$
- Are we done?

- The form of *guess(n)* was an **educated guess**.
- To be sure, we must prove it correct using induction

- Recall: T(0) = 4; T(n) = T(n-1) + 6n 5; $guess(n) = 3n^2 2n + 4$
- Want to prove: guess(n) = T(n) for all n
- Base case: $guess(0) = 3(0)^2 2(0) + 4 = T(0)$



• Recall: T(0) = 4; T(n) = T(n-1) + 6n - 5; $guess(n) = 3n^2 - 2n + 4$ • Want to prove: guess(n) = T(n) for all n PRO • Inductive case: suppose guess(n) = T(n) for $n \ge 0$, show guess(n + 1) = T(n + 1). • T(n+1) = T(n) + 6(n+1) - 5(by definition) = guess(n) + 6(n + 1) - 5(by inductive hypothesis) 0 $= 3n^2 - 2n + 4 + 6(n + 1) - 5$ (substitute) $= 3n^2 + 4n + 5$ (simplify)

• $guess(n + 1) = 3(n + 1)^2 - 2(n + 1) + 4$ (by definition) • $= 3n^2 + 4n + 5 = T(n + 1)$ (simplify)

ANOTHER APPROACH

• Suppose you look for a while at the previous recurrence:

• T(0) = 4; T(n) = T(n-1) + 6n - 5

- With some experience, you might just guess it's quadratic
- If you're right, it should have the form:
 - an² + bn + c for some unknown constants a, b, c
- So, just carry the unknown constants into the proof!
 - You can then determine what the constants must be for the proof to work out

• T(0) = 4; T(n) = T(n-1) + 6n - 5; $guess(n) = an^2 + bn + c$ • Want to prove: guess(n) = T(n) for all n $guess(0) = a(0)^2 + b(0) + c = T(0) = 4$ • Base case: this holds iff c = 4(*a*, *b* are not constrained) • Inductive case: suppose guess(n) = T(n) for $n \ge 0$, show guess(n + 1) = T(n + 1). • T(n+1) = T(n) + 6(n+1) - 5(by definition) = guess(n) + 6(n + 1) - 5(by inductive hypothesis) • $= an^{2} + bn + 4 + 6(n + 1) - 5$ (substitute) $=an^{2}+(b+6)n+5$ (simplify)

• Recall: $guess(n) = an^2 + bn + c$ where c = 4

• Inductive case: suppose guess(n) = T(n) for $n \ge 0$, show guess(n+1) = T(n+1).

• $T(n + 1) = an^2 + (b + 6)n + 5$ (continue previous slide)

• $guess(n+1) = a(n+1)^2 + b(n+1) + 4$ (by definition and c = 4)

 $= a(n^2 + 2n + 1) + bn + b + 4$ (simplify, and...)

 $= an^2 + (2a + b)n + (a + b + 4)$ (rearrange polynomial)

• We want this to be equal to T(n+1)

•

•

- $an^2 + (2a + b)n + (a + b + 4) = an^2 + (b + 6)n + 5$
- equivalent to (2a + b) = (b + 6) and (a + b + 4) = 5

So, inductive hypothesis is **correct** for $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{3}$, $\mathbf{b} = -2$, $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{4}$

• first implies a = 3 plug a into second to get b = 5 - 4 - 3 = -2

MASTER THEOREM FOR RECURRENCES

- Provides a formula for solving many recurrence relations
- We start with a **simplified version**
- Consider recurrence: T(1) = d; $T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta(n^y)$ where $a \ge 1, b \ge 2$ and n is a power of b (i.e., $n = b^j$ for integer j)

```
Example corresponding algorithm

if BaseCase(I) return Result(I)

subsolutions = []

for j = 1..a

let s = subproblem of size n/b

subsolutions[j] = DnC_algo(s)

solution = combine in n^y time

return solution
```

10

```
Simplified Master Theorem
```

 $T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^x) & \text{if } y < x \\ \Theta(n^x \log n) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta(n^y) & \text{if } y > x. \end{cases}$

where $x = \log_b a$.

DERIVING THE SIMPLIFIED MASTER THEOREM T(1) = d; $T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta(n^y)$ where $a \ge 1, b \ge 2$ and $n = b^j$



Let's rearrange this into a **geometric sequence** and solve

REARRANGING • $T(n) = da^{j} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} ca^{i} \left(\frac{n}{h^{i}}\right)^{y}$ • = $da^{j} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} ca^{i} \frac{n^{j}}{(b^{i})^{j}}$ • $= da^{j} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} ca^{i} \frac{n^{y}}{(b^{y})^{i}}$ • = $da^j + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} c \mathbf{n}^y \frac{a^i}{(h^y)^i}$ • = $da^{j} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} cn^{y} \left(\frac{a}{b^{y}}\right)^{i}$ • = $da^{j} + cn^{y} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(\frac{a}{b^{y}}\right)^{l}$

- Let $x = \log_b a$
- *x* relates # of subproblems to their size
- Rearranging we have $b^x = a$
- So $T(n) = da^j + cn^y \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(\frac{b^x}{b^y}\right)^i$
- = $da^{j} + cn^{y} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (b^{x-y})^{i}$
- Also $d\mathbf{a}^j = d(\mathbf{b}^x)^j = d(\mathbf{b}^j)^x$
- Since $n = b^j$ this is just dn^x
- So $T(n) = dn^{x} + cn^{y} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (b^{x-y})^{i}$
- and we can simplify: let $r = b^{x-y}$

SOLVING THE GEOMETRIC SEQ • $T(n) = dn^{x} + cn^{y} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} r^{i}$ where $r = b^{x-y}$

• Geo. Seq. formula: $\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} ar^{i} = \begin{cases} a \frac{r^{j-1}}{r-1} \in \Theta(r^{j}) & \text{if } r > 1\\ ja \in \Theta(j) & \text{if } r = 1\\ a \frac{1-r^{j}}{1-r} \in \Theta(1) & \text{if } 0 < r < 1 \end{cases}$

So different solutions depending on r

- Case 1: $r = b^{x-y} > 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x-y > 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x > y$
- Case 2: $r = b^{x-y} = 1$ \Leftrightarrow x-y = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y
- Case 3: $0 < r = b^{x-y} < 1 \iff x-y < 0 \iff x < y$

SOLVING THE GEOMETRIC SEQ

• Formula: $\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} ar^{i} = \begin{cases} a \frac{r^{j-1}}{r-1} \in \Theta(r^{j}) & \text{if } r > 1\\ ja \in \Theta(j) & \text{if } r = 1\\ a \frac{1-r^{j}}{1-r} \in \Theta(1) & \text{if } 0 < r < 1 \end{cases}$

• Case 1: $r = b^{x-y} > 1$ \Leftrightarrow x-y > 0 \Leftrightarrow x > y

- $T(n) = dn^x + cn^y \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} r^i \in dn^x + cn^y \Theta(r^j)$
- $T(n) \in \Theta(n^x + n^y r^j) = \Theta(n^x + n^y (b^{x-y})^j) = \Theta(n^x + n^y (b^j)^{x-y})$
- Recall $b^j = n$, so $T(n) \in \Theta(n^x + n^y n^{x-y}) = \Theta(n^x + n^{y+x-y})$
- So $T(n) \in O(n^x)$

SOLVING THE GEOMETRIC SEQ

• Formula: $\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} ar^{i} = \begin{cases} a \frac{r^{j}-1}{r-1} \in \Theta(r^{j}) & \text{if } r > 1\\ ja \in \Theta(j) & \text{if } r = 1\\ a \frac{1-r^{j}}{1-r} \in \Theta(1) & \text{if } 0 < r < 1 \end{cases}$

• Case 2: $r = b^{x-y} = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x-y = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x = y$

- $T(n) = dn^{x} + cn^{y} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} r^{i} \in dn^{x} + cn^{y} \Theta(j)$
- $T(n) \in \Theta(n^x + jn^y) = \Theta(n^x + jn^x)$ since x = y
- Recall $b^j = n$, so $\log_b b^j = \log_b n$. This means $j \in \Theta(\log n)$.
- So $T(n) = \Theta(n^x + n^x \log n) = \Theta(n^x \log n)$

SOLVING THE GEOMETRIC SEQ

• Formula: $\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} ar^{i} = \begin{cases} a\frac{r^{j-1}}{r-1} \in \Theta(r^{j}) & \text{if } r > 1\\ ja \in \Theta(j) & \text{if } r = 1\\ a\frac{1-r^{j}}{1-r} \in \Theta(1) & \text{if } 0 < r < 1 \end{cases}$

- Case 3: $0 < r = b^{x-y} < 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x-y < 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x < y$
- $T(n) = dn^{x} + cn^{y} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} r^{i} \in dn^{x} + cn^{y} \Theta(1)$
- $T(n) \in \Theta(n^x + n^y)$
- Since x < y, we simply have $T(n) \in \Theta(n^y)$

MASTER THEOREM FOR RECURRENCES

• Simplified version

Consider recurrence: $T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta(n^{y})$ where $a \ge 1, b \ge 2$ and $n = b^{j}$ And let $x = \log_{b} a$.

$$T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^x) & \text{if } y < x \\ \Theta(n^x \log n) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta(n^y) & \text{if } y > x. \end{cases}$$

SOME BONUS INTUITION FOR R CASES Recall: $T(n) = dn^{x} + cn^{y} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} r^{i}$ where $r = b^{x-y}$ $x = \log_{b} a$ i.e. $\log_{subproblem size}$ [subproblems]

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \mathsf{case} & r & y,x & \mathsf{complexity} \ \mathsf{of} \ T(n) \\ \mathsf{heavy} \ \mathsf{leaves} & r > 1 & y < x & T(n) \in \Theta(n^x) \\ \mathsf{balanced} & r = 1 & y = x & T(n) \in \Theta(n^x \log n) \\ \mathsf{heavy} \ \mathsf{top} & r < 1 & y > x & T(n) \in \Theta(n^y) \end{array}$

heavy leaves means that the value of the recursion tree is dominated by the values of the leaf nodes.

balanced means that the values of the levels of the recursion tree are constant (except for the last level).

heavy top means that the value of the recursion tree is dominated by the value of the root node.

WORKED EXAMPLES

Recall: simplified master theorem

Suppose that $a \ge 1$ and b > 1. Consider the recurrence $T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta(n^y)$, where n is a power of b.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Denote } x = \log_b a. \ \textit{Then} \\ T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^x) & \textit{if } y < x \\ \Theta(n^x \log n) & \textit{if } y = x \\ \Theta(n^y) & \textit{if } y > x. \end{cases}$

Questions: a=? b=? y=? x=? which 0 function?

T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn.a=2; b=2; y=1; x=1 $\Theta(n^x \log n) = \Theta(n \log n)$ T(n) = 3T(n/2) + cn.a=3; b=2; y=1; x=log₂3 $\Theta(n^{\chi}) = \Theta(n^{\log_2 3})$ T(n) = 4T(n/2) + cn. $a=4; b=2; y=1; x=log_2 4$ $\Theta(n^{\chi}) = \Theta(n^2)$ $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn^{3/2}.$ a=2; b=2; y=3/2; x=1 $\Theta(n^y) = \Theta(n^{3/2})$

MASTER THEOREM WHEN $b^{j-1} < n < b^j$

- n/b is not always an integer!
 - floors/ceilings are hard
 - not a geometric sequence
- Suppose we get a **big-O** bound for $b^{j-1} < n < b^j$ by instead considering the larger problem size b^j

• So $T(n) \le T(b^j) \in \begin{cases} \Theta((b^j)^x) & \text{if } y < x \\ \Theta((b^j)^x \log b^j) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta((b^j)^y) & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$

Bonus slide, for you at home

MASTER THEOREM WHEN $b^{j-1} < n < b^j$

• $T(n) \le T(b^j) \in \begin{cases} \Theta((b^j)^x) & \text{if } y < x \end{cases}$ $\Theta((b^j)^x \log b^j) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta((b^j)^y) & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$

• **Observation:** $b^j < bn$ since *n* is between b^{j-1} and b^j

• So $T(n) \le T(b^j) \in \begin{cases} \Theta((bn)^x) & \text{if } y < x \\ \Theta((bn)^x \log bn) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta((bn)^y) & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$

MASTER THEOREM WHEN $b^{j-1} < n < b^j$

• $T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta((bn)^x) & \text{if } y < x \\ \Theta((bn)^x \log bn) & \text{if } y = x \\ \Theta((bn)^y) & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$

Bonus slide, for you at home

- Case 1 (y < x): $(bn)^x = b^x n^x$ and b^x is a constant • So $T(n) \in O(n^x)$
- **Case 2** (y = x): $(bn)^x \log bn = b^x n^x (\log b + \log n)$
 - $T(bn) \in \Theta(\mathbf{b}^{x}n^{x}\log\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}^{x}n^{x}\log n) = \Theta(n^{x} + n^{x}\log n)$
 - So $T(n) \in O(n^x \log n)$
- Case 3 (y > x): $(bn)^y = b^y n^y$
 - So $T(n) \in O(n^y)$

Can tackle Ω similarly to get θ

GENERAL MASTER THEOREM

Suppose that $a \ge 1$ and b > 1. Consider the recurrence

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + f(n),$$

Arbitrary function of n(not just cn^y)

Example recurrence:

 $T(n) = 3T(n/4) + n\log n$

where n is a power of b. Denote $x = \log_b a$. Then

 $T(n) \in \begin{cases} \Theta(n^{x}) & \text{if } f(n) \in O(n^{x-\epsilon}) \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\\ \Theta(n^{x} \log n) & \text{if } f(n) \in \Theta(n^{x})\\ \Theta(f(n)) & \text{if } f(n)/n^{x+\epsilon} \text{ is an increasing function of } n\\ & \text{for some } \epsilon > 0. \end{cases}$

Must reason about relationship between f(n) and n^x

REVISITING THE RECURSION TREE METHOD

- Some recurrences with complex f(n) functions (such as f(n) = log n) can still be solved "by hand"
- Example: Let $n = 2^{j}$; T(1) = 1; $T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n \log n$



• Recall: $n = 2^{j}$; T(1) = 1; $T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \log n$ value

Summing the values at all levels of the recursion tree, we have

$$T(n) = 2^{j} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{j} i \right) = 2^{j} \left(1 + \frac{j(j+1)}{2} \right).$$

Since $n = 2^j$, we have $j = \log_2 n$ and $T(n) \in \Theta(n(\log n)^2)$.

value of the level

 $j2^j$

 $(j-1)2^{j}$

 $(j-2)2^{j}$

 $\begin{array}{c} :\\ 2^{j}\\ 2^{j} \end{array}$