CS 341: ALGORITHMS

Lecture 9: dynamic programming III

Readings: see website

Trevor Brown

https://student.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~cs341

trevor.brown@uwaterloo.ca

PROBLEM: MINIMUM LENGTH TRIANGULATION

• Input: n points q_1, \dots, q_n in 2D space that form a **convex** n-gon P

> Assume points are sorted clockwise around the center of P

• Find: a triangulation of P such that the sum of the perimeters of the n-2 triangles is minimized



• Output: the sum of the perimeters of the triangles in P

 q_2

 q_7

 q_1

 q_3

 q_4

 q_5

 q_6

HOW HARD IS THIS PROBLEM?

How many triangulations are there?

Number of triangulations of a convex n-gon = the (n - 2)nd Catalan number

This is $C_{n-2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \binom{2n-4}{n-2}$

It can be shown that $C_{n-2} \in \Theta(4^n/(n-2)^{3/2})$

The edge q_nq_1 is in a triangle with a third vertex q_k , where $k \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

 q_n

 q_2

 q_1

 q_3

...

 q_{n-1}



PROBLEM DECOMPOSITION The edge $q_n q_1$ is in a triangle with a third vertex q_k , where q_2 q_3 $k \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}.$ For a given k, we have: q_k (2) the triangle $q_1q_kq_n$, (1) the polygon with vertices q_1, \ldots, q_k , (2) q_1 (1) ... q_{n-1} q_n 6

The edge q_nq_1 is in a triangle with a third vertex q_k , where $k \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

For a given k, we have:

the triangle $q_1q_kq_n$, (1) the polygon with vertices q_1, \ldots, q_k , (2) the polygon with vertices q_k, \ldots, q_n . (3)



The edge q_nq_1 is in a triangle with a third vertex q_k , where $k \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

For a given k, we have:

the triangle $q_1q_kq_n$, (1) the polygon with vertices q_1, \ldots, q_k ,

the polygon with vertices q_1, \ldots, q_k , (2) the polygon with vertices q_k, \ldots, q_n . (3)

The optimal solution will consist of optimal solutions to the two subproblems in (2) and (3), along with the triangle in (1).



The edge q_nq_1 is in a triangle with a third vertex q_k , where $k \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

For a given k, we have:

the triangle $q_1q_kq_n$, (1) the polygon with vertices q_1, \ldots, q_k ,

the polygon with vertices q_k, \ldots, q_n . (3)

The optimal solution will consist of optimal solutions to the two subproblems in (2) and (3), along with the triangle in (1).

(2)



RECURRENCE RELATION

- Let S(i,j) = optimal solution to the subproblem consisting of the polygon with vertices $q_i \dots q_j$
- Let Δ_{ijk} denote perimeter(q_i
- If a given triangle q_i, q_j, q_k is in the optimal solution, then $S(i,j) = S(i,k) + \Delta_{ijk} + S(k,j)$

 q_k

 q_i

 q_i

 q_k S(i,k) Δ_{iik} S(k, j) q_{k+1} q_i 10

. . .



FILLING IN THE TABLE $S(i,j) = \begin{cases} \min_{i < k < j} \{S(i,k) + \Delta_{ijk} + S(k,j)\} & \text{if } j \ge i+2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

• Table S[1..n, 1..n] of solutions to S(i, j) for all $i, j \in \{1..n\}$



We depend on **larger** *i* And **same** *i* **but smaller** *j* What's a correct fill order? for $i = n \dots 1$, for $j = 1 \dots n$

RUNTIME word ram model

$$S(i,j) = \begin{cases} \min_{i < k < j} \{ S(i,k) + \Delta_{ijk} + S(k,j) \} & \text{if } j \ge i+2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Number of subproblems: n^2
- Time to solve subproblem S(i,j): $O(j-i) \subseteq O(n)$
- So total runtime is in $O(n^3)$
 - Some effort needed to show $\Omega(n^3)$, since so many subproblems are base cases, which take $\Theta(1)$ steps
- Incidentally, this is polynomial time (in the input size)
 - But basic runtime analysis does not require such an argument

PROBLEM: LONGEST COMMON SUBSEQUENCE (LCS)

Problem 5.3

Longest Common Subsequence Instance: Two sequences $X = (x_1, ..., x_m)$ and $Y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$ over some finite alphabet Γ . **Find:** A maximum length sequence Z that is a subsequence of both X and Y.

 $Z = (z_1, \ldots, z_\ell)$ is a **subsequence** of X if there exist indices $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_\ell \le m$ such that $z_j = x_{i_j}$, $1 \le j \le \ell$. Similarly, Z is a subsequence of Y if there exist (possibly different) indices $1 \le h_1 < \cdots < h_\ell \le n$ such that $z_j = y_{h_j}$, $1 \le j \le \ell$.

Let's **first** solve for the **length** of the LCS

EXAMPLES

- X=aaaaa Y=bbbbb Z=LCS(X,Y)=?
 - $Z = \epsilon$ (empty sequence)
- X=abcde Y=bcd Z=LCS(X,Y)=?
 - Z=bcd
- X=abcde Y=labef Z=LCS(X,Y)=?
 - Z=abe

POSSIBLE GREEDY SOLUTIONS?

 Alg: for each x_i ∈ X, try to choose a matching y_j ∈ Y that is to the right of all previously chosen y_j values

- X=<u>a</u>bcde
 Y=l<u>a</u>bef
- X=**ab**cde Y=l**ab**ef
- X=abcde $Y=labef[no suitable y_j found]$
- X=**ab**c<u>d</u>e Y=l**ab**ef[n
- X=abcd<u>e</u> Y=lab<u>e</u>f
 Z=abe Optima
- Y=l**ab**ef [no suitable y_j found] Y=l**ab**<u>e</u>f Optimal?

POSSIBLE GREEDY SOLUTIONS?

 Alg: for each x_i ∈ X, try to choose a matching y_j ∈ Y that is to the right of all previously chosen y_i values

X=<u>a</u>zbracadabra Y=<u>a</u>bracadabraz

- X=a<u>z</u>bracadabra Y=abracadabra<u>z</u>
- X- zbracadabra Y=abracadabraz [no y_j after z]

Blindly taking z is bad. How to decide whether to take or leave z?

> • Z-a Try **both** possibilities! (Brute force / dynamic programming)

Similar greedy alg that goes right-to-left works for this input, but fails for other inputs.

 $Y=abracadabraz [no y_i after z]$

DEFINING SUBPROBLEMS

- Full problem: |LCS(X, Y)| (i.e., length of LCS)
 - Reduce size by taking **prefixes** of X or Y
 - Let $X_i = (x_1, ..., x_i)$ and $Y_i = (y_1, ..., y_i)$



- Note $X = X_m$ and $Y = Y_n$
- Subproblem: $|LCS(X_i, Y_j)|$
- Shrinking the problem: remove the last letter of X or Y

BUILDING SOLUTIONS FROM SUBPROBLEMS EXAMPLE #1 TO BUILD INTUITION







SUMMARIZING CASES

- z_{ℓ} matches **neither** x_m nor y_n $Z = LCS(X_{m-1}, Y_{n-1})$
- z_{ℓ} matches x_m but not y_n
- z_{ℓ} matches y_n but not x_m
- z_{ℓ} matches **both**
- ... but we don't know z_ℓ
 - Try all cases and maximize
 - Careful: last case is only valid if $x_m = y_n$
- Also note $x_m = y_n$ only holds in the last case
 - Cases 2&3: trivial
 - Case 1: if $x_m = y_n \neq z_\ell$ then we can improve Z (contra) ₂

 $Z = LCS(X_{m-1}, Y_{n-1})$ $Z = LCS(X_m, Y_{n-1})$ $Z = LCS(X_{m-1}, Y_n)$ $Z = LCS(X_{m-1}, Y_{n-1}) + \mathbf{z}_{\ell}$

DERIVING A RECURRENCE

- z_{ℓ} matches **neither** x_m nor y_n $(x_m \neq y_n)$ Z = LCS
- z_{ℓ} matches x_m but not y_n
- z_{ℓ} matches y_n but not x_m
- z_{ℓ} matches **both**
- Let $c(i, j) = |LCS(X_i, Y_j)|$
- Brainstorming sensible base cases
 - i = 0 one string is empty, so c(0, j) = 0 (similarly for j = 0)
- General cases

 $c(i,j) = c(i-1,j-1) + 1 if x_m = y_n$ $c(i,j) = \max\{c(i-1,j-1), c(i,j-1), c(i-1,j)\} if x_m \neq y_n$

 $(x_m \neq y_n) \quad Z = LCS(X_{m-1}, Y_{n-1})$ $(x_m \neq y_n) \quad Z = LCS(X_m, Y_{n-1})$ $(x_m \neq y_n) \quad Z = LCS(X_{m-1}, Y_n)$ $(x_m = y_n) \quad Z = LCS(X_{m-1}, Y_{n-1}) + z_\ell$

Recall $Z = LCS(X_m, Y_n)$

RECURRENCE Combining expressions $c(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i = y_j \\ \max\{c(i,j-1), c(i-1,j), c(i-1,j-1)\} & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$

Can simplify!

• Observe $c(i - 1, j - 1) \le c(i - 1, j)$ (former is a subproblem of the latter) $c(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0\\ c(i-1,j-1) + 1 & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i = y_j\\ \max\{c(i,j-1), c(i-1,j)\} & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$

$$c(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0\\ c(i-1,j-1) + 1 & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i = y_j\\ \max\{c(i,j-1), c(i-1,j)\} & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$$

Suppose X = gdvegta and Y = gvcekst



$$c(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0\\ c(i-1,j-1) + 1 & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i = y_j\\ \max\{c(i,j-1), c(i-1,j)\} & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$$

Suppose X = gdvegta and Y = gvcekst

	X		g	d	v	е	g	t	a
Y		i = 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	j = 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
v	2	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
С	3	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
е	4	0	1	1	2	3	3	3	3
k	5	0	1	1	2	3	3	3	3
S	6	0	1	1	2	3	3	3	3
t	7	0	1	1	2	3	3	4	4

PSEUDOCODE

$$c(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0\\ c(i-1,j-1) + 1 & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i = y_j\\ \max\{c(i,j-1), c(i-1,j)\} & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$$

Algorithm: $LCS1(X = (x_1, ..., x_m), Y = (y_1, ..., y_n))$ for $i \leftarrow 0$ to m $c[i,0] \leftarrow 0$ Complexity: for $j \leftarrow 0$ to nSpace? Time? $c[0,j] \leftarrow 0$ (word RAM model) for $i \leftarrow 1$ to m $\Theta(nm)$ for both for $j \leftarrow 1$ to nif $x_i = y_i$ then $c[i, j] \leftarrow c[i - 1, j - 1] + 1$ else $c[i, j] \leftarrow \max\{c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]\}$ return (c[m,n]);

COMPUTING THE LCS NOT JUST ITS LENGTH

To make it easy to find the actual LCS (not just its length),

Consider which table entry was used to calculate c[i, j] $= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0 \\ c(i-1,j-1)+1 & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i = y_j \\ \max\{c(i,j-1), c(i-1,j)\} & \text{if } i,j \ge 1 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j \end{cases}$

We store the **direction** to that entry in an array $\pi[i, j]$

Case 1: c(i, j) = c(i, j - 1)

We store "J" in $\pi[i, j]$ to indicate **decrementing** *j* (to get i, j - 1)

Case 2: c(i, j) = c(i - 1, j)

We store "I" in $\pi[i, j]$ to indicate decrementing *i* (to get *i* - 1, *j*)

In our example table we just **draw an arrow** to the entry...

Case 3: c(i, j) = c(i - 1, j - 1) + 1

We store "IJ" in $\pi[i, j]$ to indicate decrementing **both** *i* and *j*

Recall in this case, $x_i = y_j$ so we **include** x_i **in the LCS**

SAVING THE DIRECTION TO THE **PREDECESSOR** SUBPROBLEM π

LCS2(X[1..m], Y[1..n])

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

c = new array[0..m][0..n] π = new array[0..m][0..n]

```
for i = 0..m do c[i][0] = 0
for j = 0..n do c[0][j] = 0
```

Case: c(i, j) = c(i - 1, j - 1) + 1

We store "IJ" in $\pi[i, j]$ to indicate decrementing **both** *i* and *j*

Recall in this case, $x_i = y_j$ so we **include** x_i **in the LCS**

Case: c(i, j) = c(i, j - 1)

We store "J" in $\pi[i, j]$ to indicate **decrementing** *j* (to get i, j - 1)

Case: c(i, j) = c(i - 1, j)

We store "I" in $\pi[i, j]$ to indicate decrementing *i* (to get *i* - 1, *j*)

return c, π

Suppose X = gdvegta and Y = gvcekst.

How to obtain LCS=gvet from this table?



FOLLOWING PREDECESSORS TO COMPUTE THE LCS



Complexities of this trace-back algo: Space? Time? (word RAM model)

space: O(n+m) words

time: O(n+m)

return reverse(lcs)

UNLIKELY TO GET THIS FAR

So this is likely just an exercise for you...



COIN CHANGING

Coin Changing

There **is** a denomination with **unit value**!

Problem 5.2

Coin Changing Instance: A list of **coin denominations**, $1 = d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$, and a positive integer T, which is called the **target sum**. **Find:** An *n*-tuple of non-negative integers, say $A = [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$, such that $T = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i d_i$ and such that $N = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ is minimized.

What subproblems should be considered? What table of values should we fill in? In 0-1 knapsack, we only considered two subproblems in our recurrence: taking an item, or not.

Here we can do **more than** use a coin denomination or not. Let N[i, t] denote the optimal solution to the subproblem consisting of the first *i* coin denominations d_1, \ldots, d_i and target sum *t*.

Exploring: some sensible base case(s)?

General case: What are the different ways we could use coin denomination d_i ? What subproblems / solutions should we use?

Final recurrence relation

Let N[i, t] denote the optimal solution to the subproblem consisting of the first *i* coin denominations d_1, \ldots, d_i and target sum *t*. Also N[i, 0] = 0 for all *i*

Since $d_1 = 1$, we immediately have N[1, t] = t for all t.

General case: What are the different ways we could use coin denomination d_i ? What subproblems / solutions should we use?

Final recurrence relation

Let N[i, t] denote the optimal solution to the subproblem consisting of the first i coin denominations d_1, \ldots, d_i and target sum t. Since $d_1 = 1$, we immediately have N[1, t] = t for all t. For $i \ge 2$, the number of coins of denomination d_i is an integer j where $0 \le j \le \lfloor t/d_i \rfloor$.

If we use j coins of denomination d_i , then the target sum is reduced to $t - jd_i$, which we must achieve using the first i - 1 coin denominations. Thus we have the following recurrence relation:

$$N[i,t] = \begin{cases} \min\{j + N[i-1,t-jd_i] : 0 \le j \le \lfloor t/d_i \rfloor\} & \text{if } i \ge 2\\ t & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ OR } t = 0 \end{cases}$$

FILLING THE ARRAY *N*[1 ... *n*, 0 ... *T*]:

N[i +] =	$\min\{j + N[i-1, t-jd_i] : 0 \le j \le \lfloor t/d_i \rfloor\}$	if $i \geq 2$
$\mathbb{I} [\iota, \iota] =$	t	if $i = 1$.
		$\bigcirc \mathbb{R} t = 0$

No data dependencies on any other array cells.

i-axis (coin type)

(recall: N[i,t] : uses coin types 1..i)



FILLING THE ARRAY $N[1 \dots n, 0 \dots T]$:

 $N[i,t] = \begin{cases} \min\{j+N[i-1,t-jd_i]: 0 \le j \le \lfloor t/d_i \rfloor\} & \text{if } i \ge 2\\ t & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$

No data dependencies on any other array cells.

i-axis (coin type)

(recall: N[i,t] uses coin types 1..i)







OUTPUTTING OPTIMAL SET OF COINS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

```
CoinChangingDP_coins(d[1..n], J[1..n][0..T])
counts = new array[1..n]
t = T
for i = n..1
counts[i] = J[i][t]
counts[i] = J[i][t]
t = t - counts[i]*d[i]
w
typ
```

Recall J[i, t] = # of coins of type d_i used in N[i, t]

We start at J[n][T] = # of coins of type d_n used in the **optimal solution**

Exercise for later: compute the correct output **without** using J[i, t] (i.e., using only N, d, T)

```
CoinChangingDP(d[1..n], T)
   N = new table[1..n][0..T]
   J = new table[1..n][0..T]
    for t = 0..T // base cases where i=1
       N[1][t] = t
       J[1][t] = t
    for i = 2..n // general cases
       for t = 0...T
           // initially best solution is 0 of d[i]
           N[i][t] = N[i-1][t]
           J[i][t] = 0
           // try j>0 coins of type d[i]
            for j = 1..floor(t / d[i])
               if j + N[i-1][t-j*d[i]] < N[i][t]
                   N[i][t] = j + N[i-1][t-j*d[i]]
                    J[i][t] = j // best is currently j of d[i]
```

return N[n][T] // can also return N, J

Time complexity?

Unit cost computational model is reasonable here

Consider instance
$$I = (d, T)$$

Runtime $R(I) \in O\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left| \frac{t}{d_i} \right| \right)$

$$R(I) \in O\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{t=0}^{T} t\right)$$

$$R(I) \in O\left(\sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i} \left(\frac{T(T+1)}{2}\right)\right)$$

 $R(I) \in O(DT^2)$ where $D = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{d_i} < n$.

If T is small, this is much better than brute force

43

MEMOIZATION: AN ALTERNATIVE TO DP

Recall that the goal of dynamic programming is to eliminate solving subproblems more than once.

Memoization is another way to accomplish the same goal.

Memoization is a recursive algorithm based on same recurrence relation as would be used by a dynamic programming algorithm.

The idea is to remember which subproblems have been solved; if the same subproblem is encountered more than once during the recursion, the solution will be looked up in a table rather than being re-calculated.

This is easy to do if initialize a table of all possible subproblems having the value undefined in every entry.

Whenever a subproblem is solved, the table entry is updated.

EXAMPLE: USING MEMOIZATION TO COMPUTE FIBONACCI NUMBERS EFFICIENTLY

main for $i \leftarrow 2$ to ndo $M[i] \leftarrow -1$ return (RecFib(n))

recurse! procedure RecFib(n)if n = 0 then $f \leftarrow 0$ else if n = 1 then $f \leftarrow 1$ else if $M[n] \neq -1$ then $f \leftarrow M[n]$ $f_1 \leftarrow \mathsf{RecFib}(n-1)$ else $\begin{cases} f_2 \leftarrow \operatorname{RecFib}(n-2) \\ f \leftarrow f_1 + f_2 \end{cases}$ $M[n] \leftarrow f$ return (f);

If **M[n]** is already

computed, don't

