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## Overview

- Longest Common Subsequence
- Minimum Length Triangulation
- Acknowledgements


## Longest Common Subsequence (LCS)

- Input: Two strings $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m}$ and $b_{1} b_{2} \cdots b_{n}$, where $a_{i}, b_{j} \in \Sigma$
- Output: Largest $k$ such that there is $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}$ and $j_{1}<j_{2}<\cdots<j_{k}$ for which $a_{i \ell}=b_{j_{\ell}}$ for $\ell \in[k]$
- Model: Word RAM model
- Example: given two DNA sequences, want to identify common structures.

> AAACCG TGAG CACCCCTAA GCC
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- how to DP this?

Need to find many overlapping subproblems (few subproblems) and optimal substructure (nice recurrence)
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\begin{gathered}
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- Idea: LCS must look at all strings from a certain index until the end. So, try to build solution one index at a time!
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## Recursion for LCS
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$$
C(i, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \text { if } i>m \text { or } j>n \\
C(i+1, j+1)+1, \text { if } a_{i}=b_{j} \text { and } i, j \leq n \\
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- Have $m \cdot n$ subproblems, so bottom up implementation takes $O(m n)$ time.
- Correctness of solution follows by the correctness of the recurrence.


## - Longest Common Subsequence

- Minimum Length Triangulation
- Acknowledgements


## Minimum Length Triangulation

- Input: $n$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ forming a convex $n$-gon $\Gamma$
- Output: a triangulation of $\Gamma$ such that the perimeters of the $n-2$ triangles is minimized

> (output sum of perimeters)

- Model: unit cost model
- will assume we can compute distance between two points in $O(1)$ time.
- hence can assume we have a function $\Pi$ which computes the perimeter of a triangle.


## Minimum Length Triangulation

- Input: $n$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ forming a convex $n$-gon $\Gamma$
- Output: a triangulation of $\Gamma$ such that the perimeters of the $n-2$ triangles is minimized (output sum of perimeters)
- Can we try all possibilities?

Number of triangulations is the $(n-2)^{n d}$ Catalan number:

$$
\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot\binom{2 n-4}{n-2}=\omega\left(2^{n}\right)
$$
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- Can we DP it?

Need to find optimal substructure first, and then look for overlapping subproblems.

## Recurrence Relation

- Idea: which triangle will contain edge $P_{n} P_{1}$ ?

If we choose index $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ for the third point of the triangle, we have the following perimeters:
(1) Triangle $P_{n} P_{1} P_{k}$
(2) Polygon with vertices $P_{1} P_{2} \ldots P_{k-1}$
(3) Polygon with vertices $P_{k+1} \cdots P_{n}$
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Thus $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ subproblems.
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- Leads to recurrence:
$\operatorname{OPT}(1, n)=\max _{2 \leq k \leq n-1}\left\{\Pi\left(P_{1}, P_{k}, P_{n}\right)+O P T(1, k-1)+O P T(k+1, n)\right\}$
- Subproblems: any pair of indices $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ gives us an instance of the problem.
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- Bottom-up approach, takes $O(n)$ time to compute $O P T(i, j)$ if we know optimum for all subproblems. Hence, running time is $O\left(n^{3}\right)$.
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