# Scheduling

**key concepts:** round robin, shortest job first, MLFQ, multi-core scheduling, cache affinity, load balancing

Zille Huma Kamal

David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science University of Waterloo

Spring 2022

### Simple Scheduling Model

- We are given a set of **jobs** to schedule.
- Only one job can run at a time.
- For each job, we are given
  - job arrival time  $(a_i)$
  - job run time  $(r_i)$
- For each job, we define
  - response time: time between the job's arrival and when the job starts to run
  - turnaround time: time between the job's arrival and when the job finishes running.
- We must decide when each job should run, to achieve some goal, e.g., minimize average turnaround time, or minimize average response time.









## CPU Scheduling

- In CPU scheduling, the "jobs" to be scheduled are the threads.
- CPU scheduling typically differs from the simple scheduling model:
  - the run times of threads are normally not known
  - threads are sometimes not runnable: when they are blocked
  - threads may have different priorities
- The objective of the scheduler is normally to achieve a balance between
  - responsiveness (ensure that threads get to run regularly),
  - fairness,
  - efficiency

How would FCFS, Round Robin, SJF, and SRTF handle blocked threads? Priorities?

# Multi-level Feedback Queues the most commonly used scheduling algorithm in modern times objective: good responsiveness for interactive threads, non-interactive threads make as much progress as possible key idea: interactive threads are frequently blocked, waiting for user input, packets, etc. approach: given higher priority to interactive threads, so that they run whenever they are ready. problem: how to determine which threads are interactive and which are not? MLFQ is used in Microsoft Windows, Apple macOS, Sun Solaris, and many more. It was used in Linux, but no longer is.

# MLFQ Algorithm



longest quantum

- *n* round-robin ready queues where the priority of *Q<sub>i</sub>* > *Q<sub>j</sub>* if *i* > *j*
- threads in  $Q_i$  use quantum  $q_i$  and  $q_i \leq q_j$  if i > j
- scheduler selects a thread from the highest priority queue to run
  - threads in  $Q_{n-1}$  are only selected if  $Q_n$  is empty
- preempted threads are put onto the back of the next lower-priority queue
  - a thread from  $Q_n$  is preempted, it is pushed onto  $Q_{n-1}$
- when a thread wakes after blocking, it is put onto the highest-priority queue

Since interactive threads tend to block frequently, they will "live" in higher-priority queues while non-interactive threads sift down to the bottom.











 $14 \, / \, 27$ 















6				
Suppose	e the tota	l weight o	t all threads in the	system is 50 and the
quantur —	n is 5.			
lime	I hread	Weight	Actual Runtime	Virtual Runtime
t	1	25	5	
	2	20	5	
	3	5	5	
t+5	1	25		
	2	20		
	3	5		
Which t	hread is s	elected at	t? Which thread	at $t + 5?$

## CFS Example

Suppose the total weight of all threads in the system is 50 and the quantum is 5.

Time	Thread	Weight	Actual Runtime	Virtual Runtime
t	1	25	5	5 * 50/25 = 10
	2	20	5	5*50/20 = 12.5
	3	5	5	5 * 50/5 = 50
				T1 is selected
t+5	1	25	10	10 * 50/25 = 20
	2	20	5	12.5
	3	5	5	50
				T2 is selected
Which thread is selected at $t$ ? Which thread at $t + 5$ ?				







Food	for	thought
------	-----	---------

- pracitcal use for FCFS, RR SJF,
- How to choose a time quantum?
- Linux assigns variable priority based on category of task, real-time tasks have larger quantum and higher priority, lower priority tasks have a smaller quantum
- Windows assigns higher priority to foreground processes

