

## Protection and Security

**Protection:** ensure controlled access to resources *internally* within a system

- OS provides mechanisms for policy enforcement
- Principle of least privilege: grant only enough privileges to complete task

**Security:** need to have adequate protection and consider *external* environment

- Security is hard because so many people try to break it.

## Protection Domains

- Process should have access to specific objects and have the right to do specific things with each
- Rights should change to reflect what is needed at the time
- At any time, a process is operating in a protection domain that determines its access rights
- The domains should change to reflect actual needs (principle of least privilege)
- In most systems
  - domain changes are rare
  - more rights are granted than are needed

## Protection Domains: Examples

- When you compile, should the compiler have access to all of your files?  
E.g., mail, mp3 files, video
  - what is stopping it from transferring these files to another host
  - what is stopping it from deleting these files
- Often protection domain == user  
All processes belonging to a user have the same rights
- Changing protection domains  
UNIX setuid, effective user id becomes the same as file owner  
Windows Server “execute as”
- Grant additional rights to specific programs, but not a solution to the first problem above

## Protection: The Access Control Matrix

		Objects				
		1	2	3	4	5
Subjects	1		R		R	
	2			R,W		R,W
	3	R,X	R,W	R,W		
	4			R		

**objects:** the things to be protected, e.g., files, printers, etc.

**subjects:** users, groups, roles

**matrix entries:** access rights, i.e., operations allowed by a subject on an object

---



---

A common implementation is an *access control list* for each object.

---



---

### Protection: Access Control Administration

- there must be a mechanism for changing the access rights describe in the access control matrix
  - set of subjects is dynamic
  - set of objects is dynamic
  - access rights may need to change
- some approaches
  - encode access control change rights in the access control matrix
    - \* add “owner” as a possible access right. Subject with owner rights on object  $x$  can change access rights in  $x$ ’s column.
  - new users/subjects can inherit rights from others

### Protection: Example – Access Rights in Unix

- subjects are users and groups (group membership is maintained separately)
- each object has an owner and a group
- access rights are specified for the owner, for the group, and for everyone else
- object access rights can be modified by the object owner
- major access rights are read, write, and execute
- access controls can be applied to files, devices, shared memory segments, and more.

## Protection: Authentication

- object access is performed by processes
- to apply access controls, it is necessary to associate processes with users
- this requires user *authentication*
- some authentication techniques:
  - passwords
  - cryptographic (e.g., public key methods)
  - physical tokens (e.g., smart cards)
  - biometrics

## Security

OS / Network threats:

- Trojan Horses
- Trap Doors
- Buffer Overflows
- Worms
- Viruses
- Other (specific examples)

Even Worse:

- Physical
- Human

## Trojan Horses

- Two different meanings:
  1. A programs that intends to run and do something undesirable  
E.g., download a program/game that also erases all of your files
  2. User tricked into running it  
Unix PATH variable includes “.” as first entry  
`% cd /home/username; ls` BUT  
`/home/username/ls` is actually  
`cd $HOME; /bin/rm -rf *`

Fundamental problem: privilege of command determined by user

## Trap Doors / Back Doors

Lets perpetrator do things they wouldn't normally be allowed to do.

For example,

- when run by root
- surprise/undocumented response to a special input  
game cheats (type sequence of characters that make you invincible)
- special/alternate login program allows author access via special user name

Usually obscure, casual examination of source would miss it

## Buffer Overflows

Send more data than is expected by executing program

Many programs have fixed-sized input buffers but don't limit input length

Data contains executable code

Consequences:

- Crash
- Much worse when buffer is on the stack (execute arbitrary code)

---

---

Very machine dependent but the X86 family is very wide-spread

---

---

## Worms

- Program that replicates itself from one system to another (usually via Internet)
- Tend to consume resources leading to a Denial of Service (DOS) attack
- Arbitrarily bad behaviour
- Often use buffer overflows to compromise systems/users

Morris Worm:

- Spread using legitimate access of compromised users (e.g., .rhosts)
- 1988 – 3 yrs probation, 400 hrs community service, \$10K

Sobig:

- Mail to all users in the address book
- Modifies system parameters to restart worm when rebooted (registry)

## Viruses

- Not a free standing program, but a fragment attached to a legitimate program
- Essentially a dynamic Trojan horse or trap door.
- Especially a problem on single user systems with weak or non-existent protection
  - Makes it easy to infect file systems
- Microsoft Office Macros and/or Active X lead to problems with Word files, email and web content
  - no need to reboot
  - execution not even expected
- Denial of Service often occurs at the same time (as a result of rapid spreading)

## Specific Examples / Hacks

- Allocate virtual memory and look for data
- Illegal system calls or calls with invalid number of parameters or types
- Modify any OS data structures stored in user data e.g., `open()` call
- Look for don't do XXX in the documentation
- Social Engineering