

# Turing-recognizable versus Turing-decidable

Notes for CS 360, Fall 2019

November 12, 2019

Here is an example of the difference between Turing recognizability and Turing decidability.

Consider two languages over a 1-letter alphabet  $\{a\}$ , defined as follows.

$$L_{\text{sum}} = \{a^k : \exists \text{ prime numbers } p_1, p_2 \text{ such that } k = p_1 + p_2\}$$

$$L_{\text{diff}} = \{a^k : \exists \text{ prime numbers } p_1, p_2 \text{ such that } k = p_1 - p_2\}$$

Then  $L_{\text{sum}}$  is Turing-decidable, and it can be decided as follows: on input  $a^k$ , we count the number of  $a$ 's to find  $k$ . Then we try every  $j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq k/2$ , and check if  $j$  and  $k - j$  are both prime (using any method, such as trial division to see if there is any divisor other than the number and 1). If we find such a  $j$ , then the input is accepted; otherwise it is rejected.

On the other hand,  $L_{\text{diff}}$  is Turing-recognizable, because it can be recognized as follows: on input  $k$ , we test every integer  $i \geq 2$ , one after the other, to see if both  $i$  and  $i + k$  are primes. If we find such an  $i$ , then we accept the input. If we never find such an  $i$ , then the Turing machine fails to halt.

Is  $L_{\text{diff}}$  also Turing-decidable? Good question! The answer is, nobody currently knows. It could be that  $L_{\text{diff}} = L$ , where

$$L = \{a^{p-2} : p \text{ is a prime}\} \cup (aa)^*;$$

this is known as Maillet's conjecture, and is still unresolved. If Maillet's conjecture holds, then  $L_{\text{diff}}$  would also be Turing-decidable. Of course,  $L_{\text{diff}}$  could also be Turing-decidable, even if Maillet's conjecture doesn't hold.

The big difference between the two languages  $L_{\text{diff}}$  and  $L_{\text{sum}}$  is that in the case of  $L_{\text{sum}}$ , the search space to check whether an input is accepted is bounded, **and we know what the bound is**, so we can just test all possibilities.

The search space for  $L_{\text{diff}}$ , on the other hand, is not obviously bounded. It *could* be bounded, if we knew more about prime numbers. We just don't know currently, and it is not obvious from the definition.